

St. Vital Transmission Project

Date _____

Comment Sheet

1. What organization /department do you represent?

2. What do you think of the EPRI methodology that was used in determining the Alternative Routes?

Very Appropriate Somewhat Appropriate Not Appropriate Don't Know

3. Please provide any general comments you may have regarding the proposed St. Vital Transmission Complex.

4. How did you like the Stakeholder Workshop? Liked Disliked No Opinion

Why? _____

Please return you comment sheet to a Manitoba Hydro or AECOM representative at the Workshop or complete it later and email, fax or mail your response to: Don Hester, AECOM, 99 Commerce Dr., Winnipeg, MB, R3P 0Y7
Don.Hester@aecom.com



Workshop Comment Forms

Date	2a. What do you think of the EPRI methodology that was used in determining the Alternative Routes?	2b. Comments	3. Please provide any general comments you may have regarding the proposed St. Vital Transmission Complex.	4a. How did you like the Stakeholder Workshop?	4b. Why?
20-Aug-13	Somewhat Appropriate	-	Should have had more info explaining width of ROW/footprint of the tower, this would have made it easier to select line location	Liked	Expect to be able to express our opinion
20-Aug-13	Somewhat Appropriate	Needs to encompass more data	Try to use existing ROW or Provincial roadway system where possible	Liked	Good presenters; very knowledgeable
20-Aug-13	Appropriate	Worked well, although some of preferred route fell outside of corridor	Not sure I have any specific other than to reinforce preferred criteria: Follow MIT ROWs where possible Avoid developed areas Preserve productive land (ag or natural)	Liked	Worked well for me.
20-Aug-13	Somewhat Appropriate	Good to get a general idea but needs input as site specific can't be known	Good to parallel GRA/existing PR/PTH Avoid residential (designated zoned or dvlp'd)	Liked	Gave some ownership to design process allows people to feel engaged/as though they can alter/impact design
20-Aug-13	Somewhat Appropriate	Didn't place enough importance on the impacts on prime agricultural land and agricultural operations (overall route consisted of only prime agricultural land)	Has significant potential impacts on agriculture - this should be considered during route selection More info on tower footprints, height, ROW size should be given up front to better assess potential impacts	Liked	Well organized; group facilitator was very helpful in keeping route selection/discussion on track.
21-Aug-13	Somewhat Appropriate	It was an appropriate exercise	It's important to receive input from stakeholders to create acceptance of proposed routes - I hope these things will be seriously considered.	Liked	There seemed to be a genuine desire to receive further input from various stakeholders.
21-Aug-13	Very Appropriate	Great model, really appreciated the freedom to draw in new routes	Tourond Creek Discovery Centre needs to be avoided!!! Need to address concern from MIT water control re. paralleling waterways, especially Provincial waterways	Liked	Greatly appreciated the invitation. Great facilitation - Trevor & Don were a wonderful help!
21-Aug-13	-	-	More consultation will be required with MIT to any alignment crossing or adjacent to provincial roadways as to specific alignments, offsets & pole tower placements	-	Would be better if done in time allotted - time specified was 9-1:30; started late & ran longer. I had other items planned in my day that put my daily schedule out.
21-Aug-13	Very Appropriate	-	Minimizing impacts to highways can save the taxpayers lots of money	Liked	It gives a good opportunity to see what the project is about
21-Aug-13	Very Appropriate	Very scientific	The new transmission complex is very much NEEDED!	Liked	Good blend of types of organization involved
21-Aug-13	Very Appropriate	Fact finding is best approach.	Within City of Wpg, not really an issue as proposed line on existing (Sage Creek) ROW & easement and/or land purchased along south loop plus floodway alignment (out of sight-out of mind)	Liked	Good to discuss with various stakeholders as it has to go somewhere-need consensus. Very well organized but a bit long-a bit too repetitious
21-Aug-13	Very Appropriate	-	Good luck on the project.	Liked	Got to comment/pick a possible alignment.
21-Aug-13	Somewhat Appropriate	As a group project-this seemed helpful	Some routes can be adjusted to mitigate concerns from various groups	Liked	-
22-Aug-13	Somewhat Appropriate	-	Need to find best method for contacting stakeholders in proposed route areas to ensure meaningful dialogue and to readily ID problem areas.	Liked	Appreciate opportunity for our stakeholder group to be "kept in the loop"
22-Aug-13	Somewhat Appropriate	The discussion, presentation and process was a good way to understand the routing and look at any options that are practical	-	Liked	Created a good [understanding] of the routing & the challenges to be dealt with.
22-Aug-13	Somewhat Appropriate	-	Concerned with planning districts/municipality development plans & zoning criteria. R.E: Siting Model	Liked	Diversity of participants
22-Aug-13	Somewhat Appropriate	-	Need more info on impacts.	Liked	-
22-Aug-13	Somewhat Appropriate	-	No specific comments or concerns	Liked	Thorough and interactive. Better distribution of professional backgrounds in working groups would be an improvement.

Workshop Comment Forms

Date	2a. What do you think of the EPRI methodology that was used in determining the Alternative Routes?	2b. Comments	3. Please provide any general comments you may have regarding the proposed St. Vital Transmission Complex.	4a. How did you like the Stakeholder Workshop?	4b. Why?
22-Aug-13	-	The software itself seems fine but is only as useful as the data inputs. There are a variety of items that if input, may have caused/created a completely different corridor to be generated.	The project will impact the use of lands and resources of the MB Métis community. The MMF looks forward to working with MB Hydro to minimizing the level of impact.	Liked	The workshop was fine. A broaden section of people/ reps would have been more useful. Ie) it is difficult to make routing decisions without MCWS reps in the group.
22-Aug-13	Somewhat Appropriate	Lacks data rigor. Not enough detailed inputs	-	Liked	Allowed for input and good discussions.
22-Aug-13	Somewhat Appropriate	If proposed line diverts outside the study corridor, maybe those ppl affected were not contacted to participate and are outside the process & maybe new data would be needed in that case-field wk would not have been done - original data overlays (detail) is lost in the cumulative approach-ie. where are the provincial parks, etc.	Within City of Wpg, not really an issue as proposed line on existing (Sage Creek) ROW & easement and/or land purchased along south loop plus floodway alignment (out of sight-out of mind)	Liked	Logical plus based on science.
					Respects accepted practice for public engagement (IAP2)
					Did you invite professional associations (APEGM, MPPI, MALA, MAA, PIDIM)?