

Phone Call/Email	Date and Time of Call or Initial Email	Constraint/Constraint
Round 1		
Phone Call	8/9/2013 10:00	Call requesting images
Email	8/9/2013 Time unknown (AM)	Location of runway located 2.4 miles east of Hwy 200 near S-8and meeting request to discuss routing.
Phone Call	8/12/2013 11:00	Wanted to know what the route would entail. Noted that from her home the closest line would be 1 mile north followed by 2 miles north. Wanted basic info and said she would try and attend the open house in DC or M. Woolrdige Rd. NW1-5-4 No concerns raised.
Phone Call	8/13/2013 15:00	Caller was opposed to project. Does not like options near where he owns land between New Bothwell and Tourond. Also upset about Bipole III. Expressed that land east of the Red is too densely populated for a transmission line.
Phone Call	8/13/2013 15:30	Information request, discussed routing. Noted he was 1 mile from the line. He thought it was paralleling 59 and the existing tiine. Caller indicated the website needed more detailed mapping. No concerns with the Project and will try and attend the WPG OH.
Phone Call	8/14/2013 11:00	Requested a meeting with Manitoba Hydro
Phone Call	8/14/2013 11:00	Caller noted the route should follow highway 75 and not go through a deny populated area. Caller noted there will be a lot of anger from those in the area due to Bipole III and said there is a lack of trust. Manitoba Hydro outlined that it is their goal to rebuild that trust. Routes are not set in stone and can be modified (brought up Tourond as an example), discussed future plans and needs of the area. Caller noted that the routing methodology ignores human beings.
Phone Call	8/14/2013 13:00	Caller wanted to know which side of the road the routes around Arnold are going. Manitoba Hydro indicated south and east unless on a half mile. Caller questioned routing on half mile as it would bisect people's farms. Noted that moving it would cause pain to another. Manitoba Hydro noted they consider concerns and issues before adjusting and noted these are not set in stone.
Phone Call	8/14/2013 13:00	Caller wanted to know about general design. No expression of anger towards the project
Phone Call	8/15/2013 11:00	Caller requesting information relating to the proximity of the route to her home outside of Ile des Chenes. Caller is concerned about property values in proximity to transmission lines and she indicated that she and many others in the area are modifying development plans and subdividing their properties. She is located 1/2 mile from the western most alternative on Habitat Road. Caller and Manitoba Hydro discussed the routing methodology and the schedule for the Project. Caller will attend Mitchell Open House and if she believes it is too busy she will come to Winnipeg to talk one on one about her concerns.
Email	8/15/2013 15:09	Email thanking for the chance to comment on project. Recognizing the need for reliable energy and hoping Hydro can build what the need without delay.
Email	8/15/2013 15:09	Email informing Hydro of their airstrip on the NW corner of Sec 15-5-4-E1. Harv's Air Pilot Training also uses the strip for practicing.Hydro has previously buried a new power line at this location for airplane safety. Requesting the proposed transmission line keep a safe distance away from existing air traffic for airplane safety.
Email	8/16/2013 (time unknown)	Email to caller thanking her for call that morning. Provided snapshots of home quarter, write up on routing methodology and requested input on line locations and indicated input would be considered by the project team.
Phone Call	8/19/2013 9:00	Left a message to discuss the project. Called both numbers back. First number the VM was full. Left a message on the other.
Email	8/20/2013 10:20	Not impressed that the 1-877-343-1631 number doesn't work. As far as he's concerned - Hydro is already in breach of their Class 2 License. Indicates that not everyone has internet in rural areas.
Email	8/21/2013 10:10	Comment sheet indicates can fax in answers, however there is no fax number.
Email	8/21/2013 15:57	Requests to be called back to be provided further information on the letter received from Manitoba Hydro.
Email	8/22/2013 10:59	He attended the open house in Mitchell to voice his concerns about a portion of the route that travels down Hwy 59. Him along with 6 other families live there. His concern is with the line passing 7 houses and one of those houses are close to the hwy. His neighbour has an airstrip on the east side of the hwy which runs east-west. There are red and white balls on existing hydro lines on the west side of Hwy 59, so aircraft don't hit them. Suspects a new, taller line would be even more problematic. He hopes the line is built far, far away from him.
Phone Call	8/23/2013 15:00	Wanted to speak with AECOM to add to their discussions in Mitchell and Winnipeg.
Phone Call	8/26/2013 9:00	Wanted to know why we have no respect for agriculture as there are many people out in the fields and would not be able to attend. It was noted that even though people could not attend it does not mean they cannot participate in the process. Manitoba Hydro indicated that we would meet with him to discuss and that he would check his schedule and get back to me. He believes he is affected by 3.5 miles of line in the La Rochelle Area.
Email	8/27/2013 0:00	Information provided to Open House attendee by email from Manitoba Hydro '1. Regarding number of conductors on each line: 3 conductors (35 mm) in diameter, 2 skywires for lightning protection. One skywire will be OPGW (fibre optic) to double as a line of communication for the electrical system. 2. maximum capacity of the proposed line: 517 MVA, 3. Clearance of conductors over access to property and consideration of type of equipment: line will meet CSA standards, ground clearance will be 7.3 m, identifcaiton of specific individual struture locations will be part of the detailed design phase and will be influenced by obstacles in the field. 4. fences near the trans line must be properly grounded. MB Hydro has specifications for grounding of fences in proximity to lines that vary based on proximity to the outside conductor of the trans line and total length of the fence.
Phone Call	8/27/2013 13:00	Initially an email but responded by phone. Contact was concerned about the grass runway which is located 2-3 miles north of La Rochelle along 59. It makes an L shape and would not be visible as a runway if driving by. Noted that S1 is boxing the north and west side of the landing strip. He noted that distribution is underground in the area to accomodate. Based on his view of the location of the alternatives S2 makes much more sense for this landing strip and that it would give a mile of landing room. He noted a correlation of 1ft vertically needs 20 horizontally. Contact thanked Manitoba Hydro for getting back to him so quickly. Southern route in the area would be much better.
Phone Call	8/28/2013 8:00	Caller wanted real facts and not the awful coffee shop talk he has been hearing. He missed Dominion City Open House and wanted to know what the big deal is if there are no large towers and they will be along road allowances. He wanted a compensation estimate which went to Manitoba Hydro's Property Department. He believes the route is much less intrusive on the mile alignment. Wanted to know details on structures. No other concerns noted.
Phone Call	8/28/2013 13:00	Wanted an update on where we were. Noted we were still collecting information from many other interested parties. Noted that the structures would be under 20 m tall in the area whereas he thought they would be 90 ft or more. He said we should be showing more numbers for compensation and route the line on properties who would want the money. We are still waiting for a time to meet with him and his neighbours.
Phone Call	8/29/2013 9:00	Has called personal line 4 times this morning. Called him back twice and there is no voicemail. Will try again on the 30th.
Phone Call	8/29/2013 15:00	Caller wanted information on the line location as he has 12 acres on 205 heading to Grunthal. His home is 0.52 miles from the proposed location. Concerned about EMF. Noted that there was no other major concerns and was interested in what other people were saying about the Project.
Phone Call	8/30/2013 13:30	Wanted to chat about routing. He has some ideas. We are crossing his lands and he wanted an estimate on compensation. Gave him the estimate which was prepared by property. Caller requested a meeting.
Phone Call	9/10/2013 0:00	Caller wanted to inquire and become informed of the markers that are being placed along her roadway. She noted that the RM of Hanover told her it was because of St. Vital. Manitoba Hydro noted that Hydro does not survey until they have a preferred route which currently we do not have. Manitoba Hydro and caller discussed it could be distribution, a correction for Bipole III or a gas line. She forwarded an email from the RM regarding a coalition and that it was due to the St. Vital Project. No other concerns raised. Caller wants to be informed of the project because she understands the need for it.

Phone Call/Email	Date and Time of Call or Initial Email	Constraint/Constraint
Phone Call	9/10/2013 9:19 Information from call sent to AECOM	Owns 80 acres on SE28-4-4E1 and SW28-4-4E1 and it runs east west. Currently has a distribution line on the southern side of his property and believes this line will be unsafe to maneuver around. Also aerial application (fungicide) will not be possible as he will be boxed in. Prefers the line further west, which runs south of Dufrost.
Phone Call	9/11/2013 9:00	Interfere with operations and limit ability for aerially spraying as he would be boxed in. Is located in the RM of Franklin near Arnaud (SW & NW34-3-3E1) - on segment S7, S8 more preferred. Northern portion of route biggest impact.
Email	9/23/2013 10:36	Manitoba Hydro provided a description of the project and a link to the project website if the Resident association requires further details. Manitoba Hydro will notify The Association as they advance through the environmental assessment process.
Round 2		
Phone Call	10/29/2013 10:00	Landowner lives south of Ile des Chenes and noted he has a farm and it will impact the spread of manure. Noted he would talk with us in Ile des Chense Open House.
Phone Call	10/29/2013 10:00	Information request
Email	10/29/2013 14:45	Information request
Phone Call	10/29/2013 15:00	No concerns
Phone Call	10/30/2013 11:00	No concerns
Email	10/30/2013 12:36	Requesting additional information for the Sage Creek area and is part of the Resident's Association.
Email	10/30/2013 11:55	Requesting additional information where the line will run near the Green Ridge area specifically relative to NE and NW quarters of 32-2-4 E1.
Phone Call	10/30/2013 15:00	Landowner sent an email regarding his airstrip along PTh59. He offered two adjustments. Project team has already been in discussion and will present two options in that area for consideration. He was not upset but wants to work together to determine a placement.
Phone Call	10/30/2013 16:00	Little interest in the project.
Email	10/31/2013 13:56	Requesting clarification on what side of the road allowances the line passes where the lines run north to south between the West side of section 5 - 3 - 4 E1, and the East side of section 6 - 3 - 4 E1 and where the line travels east to west between the South side of section 6 - 3 - 4 E1, and the North side of section 31 - 2 - 4 E1.
Phone Call	10/31/2013 16:00	Landowner wants an email once the final route is submitted. Property owner NW11-6-4 and acreage on SW11-6-4120 acres on NW12-6-4
Phone Call	10/31/2013 17:00	Information request
Phone Call	10/31/2013 17:00	Landowner lives close to St. Vital preferred route (180m) and we will cross existing south east of him at 380m. Discussed tower structure and EMF.
Phone Call	10/31/2013 17:00	Lives a mile north of Niverville and Stott Road. He is quite close to the line and MH does a deviation of the route to move away from his residence.
Phone Call	10/31/2013 18:00	Landowner is not happy about the placement and thinks Manitoba Hydro should have gone on the RM boundary between Hanover and De Salaberry because it is all slough grass.
Phone Call	11/1/2013 10:00	Wanted to know where the venues were going to be for St. Vital and he will come to Dominion City open house. Wanted to know where we were in relation to 201 and what side of the road we would be on from Dominion City north to St. PJ.
Email	11/1/2013 10:19	Initial email from MH providing individual, as requested during their phone conversation that day, a link to the project website and the newsletter. MH provides information regarding phases of construction and states MH will be glad to meet with the Residents Association and asks for dates that would work for potential meetings.
Phone Call	11/1/2013 12:00	Has the facebook page for Sage Creek Residents Association. Wanted information on the location of the towers and span and alignment. Indicated the towers will line up and we will match span. Noted hydro's ownership of the right of way and he noted people would be coming to the Winnipeg Open House. He will post something on their facebook page and provide the information line number.
Phone Call	11/5/2013 11:00	Resident's Association wanted to know if the tower placements will interfere with the walking trails (Sage Creek Corridor).
Phone Call	11/6/2013 9:00	Information request
Phone Call	11/6/2013 10:00	Wanted to note that he is opposed to the project location and should not be located on the 1/2 mile behind his home. He states it will devalue the property and his taxes are already going up. He would like to see the route located on PTH 3 or 75 and not where we are. He said there are many parcels around this line which have great potential for subdivision but who would do so with a transmission line behind it. He owns 40 acres.
Phone Call	11/6/2013 11:00	Wanted to know if the RM got taxes paid for the transmission line or if there is grants in lieu.
Email	11/6/2013 13:29	Compensation information
Email	Conversation during St. Pierre Jolys Open House 11/05/2013	Interested in the benefits and potential limitations of the project on wind development.
Phone Call	11/12/2013 12:00	Information request
Phone Call	11/12/2013 15:00	Information request
Phone Call	11/12/2013 17:00	Information request
Phone Call	11/13/2013 10:00	No concerns
Phone Call	11/14/2013 7:00	Wanted to know where the line was going in relation to Dufrost. Wanted to know if the angle structures would have guyed wires.
Phone Call	11/18/2013 17:00	Wanted to know what to expect from the structures as well as information related to MMTP. He wanted to know the regulatory process for St. V. Noted he had joined CAEPLA and was concerned about compensation for the impacts to his agricultural operation. SW26-7-4E1
Phone Call	11/20/2013 8:00	Concerned that the transmission line is too close to their home and that they have children and the exposure (to EMF) will hurt them. They built in the country to avoid this type of development.
Phone Call	11/20/2013 17:00	Wanted an update on timelines and wanted to ensure Manitoba Hydro documented his support for a routing change with regards to the airstrip which is located on PTH 59.
Phone Call	11/26/2013 11:00	Landowner lives in Grande Pointe and believes the lines will devalue her home.
Phone Call	11/26/2013 11:00	Information request
Phone Call	11/26/2013 10:00	Wanted to know where the line was going for St. V. Noted it was 1 1/2 mile west of the lands he owns and operates (30-6-5E1). He was happy it is not on his property and he noted a concern about stray voltage.
Email	11/26/2013 1:22	Information on EMF and health effects were provided by citizen.
Email	11/26/2013 0:45	Concerned about EMF (health concerns) and close proximity (within 200 m) to transmission lines through Sage Creek.
Email	10/23/2013 15:14	Council wants Manitoba Hydro to revise the alignment of the St. Vital transmission line, so that it avoids the Ritchot landfill and the Ile des Chenes lagoons.
Email	11/21/2013 12:04	Pinawa Game & Fish Association would like the dates of the submission of the reports and copies of the reports including the dates and locations of the public review of each of the reports and identified stages listed in the distributed public information and published on the website. 1. Confirmation of the preferred route; 2. Submission of the environmental assessment report; 3. regulatory authorities review report.
Email	11/20/2013 11:19	Landowner updated information in their Landowner Form.

Phone Call/Email	Date and Time of Call or Initial Email	Constraint/Constraint
Email	11/8/2013 11:20	Citizen of Sage Creek presented 5 questions to MH to respond to regarding the location of the transmission lines: 1) will they be in-line with one another or staggered 2) provide approximate location of the line in the photo provided 3) will the current recreational path in the Hydro Corridor remain in tacked or 4) will they be relocated and 5) what is the minimum distance a 230 kV line has to be from a residential property.
Email	11/20/2013 11:20	Information request
Email	11/20/2013 11:19	Information request
Email	11/19/2013 21:55	New Hydro lines will be across the road from home on Hwy 59. Don't understand what is to be expected or if anything has been written in stone as to where these proposed lines are actually going. Would appreciate some feedback with the facts about this undertaking.
Email	11/15/2013 11:36	Concerned about a potential line may be running right next to citizen's property - would like to get a more exact understanding of where it will go.
Email	11/13/2013 14:55	Information request
Email	11/13/2013 12:40	Citizen requested the newsletters from Round 1 and Round 2.
Email	11/12/2013 22:01	Information provided by citizen via Google Earth.
Email	11/10/2013 10:47	Meeting request with Manitoba Hydro
Email	11/8/2013 11:03	Information request
Email	11/8/2013 15:31	Alternative One or Two would satisfy most.
Email	11/7/2013 9:53	Information provided by Manitoba Hydro and a meeting request.
Email	11/7/2013 14:48	Concerns including EMF, real and/or perceived implications for safety, land value and long-term residential development merit careful review and consideration for the best possible long-term decision about the location of the Transmission Corridor.
Email	12/14/2013 13:00	Concerned about air strip located at NW 15-4-4-E1 might be obstructed by steel structures and wire. He also mention that there are alternate routes with less impact on agricultural land and of course the 30 year old air strip.