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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A Cost of Service Study ("COSS") is a method of allocating a utility's cost to the various classes of customers that it serves. Its purpose is to determine a fair sharing of the utility's Revenue Requirement among the customer classes. While there are many allocation methods, the central aim is always to allocate costs to the customer classes on the basis of known customer characteristics. The cost study conducted at Manitoba Hydro is an average (embedded) study in that the unit costs represent the average to serve all customers in a rate class or subclass based upon funds historically invested in plant in service.

Manitoba Hydro's COSS is a Prospective Study. That is, while historic investment has a significant role in determining the costs, the study utilizes forecast costs for the next fiscal year. This provides a basis for testing rates that are proposed for the next fiscal year.

The results of the study indicate the degree to which the rate class/subclass revenue recovers allocated costs. Although the study has the appearance of exactness, it does not disclose the actual cost of serving a particular customer or group of customers within a customer class, it only provides an approximation of such costs. This is because there are many judgements involved in the process of classifying and allocating costs, particularly those costs related to capital investment. There is no right or wrong way of allocation, as each utility's operating characteristics and reasons for capital investment are not necessarily the same. The objective for the utility is to select a method which best represents cost causation and the equitable sharing of costs among the customer rate classes.

Manitoba Hydro has carried out PCOSS10 incorporating many, but not all, of the Public Utilities Board (PUB) recommendations emerging from the 2006 Cost of Service review and the 2008 General Rate Application (GRA). Below these recommendations are reviewed and the rationale for Manitoba Hydro's approach to the recommendation is set forth.

## Export Class

PCOSS10 includes only a single export class that is allocated Generation and Transmission costs on the same basis as to domestic customers.

## Load Profile for Allocation of Generation Costs

Twelve SEP time periods have been used in the allocation of generation-related costs, using energy use profiles averaged over six years. Future PCOSS will use the full eight year average as Load Research data becomes available.

## Assignment of DSM Costs

In PCOSS10, DSM costs are simply assigned to the customer classes benefiting from the DSM programming, in the same manner as carried out prior to PCOSS08. This process reasonably assigns costs in accordance with the classes which benefit from the expenditures, is relatively simple to carry out, and avoids methodological complications associated with tracking cumulative DSM energy and capacity savings.

The costs of programs that are funded by the Affordable Energy Fund (AEF) have been charged directly to the export class in this study.

## Thermal Plant Costs Assigned to the Export Class

Since gas-fired generation is almost never used to support exports, PCOSS10 assigns the cost of gas-fired thermal plants entirely to the domestic classes, as the plants provide dispatchable energy for the benefit of these customers.

Fuel and variable maintenance costs for Brandon Unit 5, other than that related to operation necessary for staff proficiency training and reliability runs, have been assigned to the export class. The remaining costs have been allocated to the domestic classes, as they are the beneficiaries of the reliability benefits provided by the thermal plant.

## Assignment of Other Costs to Exports

Purchased power costs and the costs associated with securing US transmission used to make opportunity export sales have been directly assigned to the Export class.

The 'Trading Desk', as well as MISO and MAPP memberships provides benefits to domestic customers by facilitating import purchases needed for dependable supply, and during periods of prolonged drought, or in the event of a major generation or transmission failure. Consequently, only the portion of these costs that can be directly attributed to Manitoba Hydro's export sales activities has been directly assigned to the export class. The remaining $58 \%$ of the costs have been assigned to the domestic classes.

## Forecast of Export Revenue

PCOSS10 employs Manitoba Hydro’s forecast of export prices for 2009/10 as used in the Integrated Financial Forecast (IFF) that underlies the PCOSS, and which supports Manitoba Hydro's rate requests to the PUB. If the most recent actual export prices were used as the basis for the IFF in the current year, the rate increase requirements would be increased relative to using Manitoba Hydro's forecast.

Since the PCOSS is based on median flows, it is incorrect to apply lower average unit prices from a year of above average flows, with predominantly opportunity sales, against sales volumes under median flow conditions.

## Net Export Revenue

The assignment and allocation of costs to the Export class results in net export revenue of \$126 million to be allocated to domestic customers.

| Gross Export Revenue | $\$ 546$ million |
| :--- | ---: |
| Uniform Rates | $\$ 19$ million |
| Affordable Energy Fund Expenditures | $\$ 4$ million |
| Trading Desk | $\$ 5$ million |
| MISO/MAPP | $\$ 2$ million |
| NEB Cost | $\$ 2$ million |
| Purchased Power and Transmission | $\$ 174$ million |
| Brandon Unit 5 Costs | $\$ 14$ million |
| Allocated Generation \& Transmission (incl. Water rentals) | $\$ 200$ million |
|  |  |
| Net Export Revenue | $\$ 126$ million |

The resulting Revenue Cost Coverage ratios (RCC) of the major classes are outlined below:

| CUSTOMER CLASS | RCC |
| :--- | ---: |
| Residential | $96.4 \%$ |
| GSS Non-Demand | $105.7 \%$ |
| GSS Demand | $102.8 \%$ |
| GSM | $101.3 \%$ |
| GSL $0-30 \mathrm{kV}$ | $92.3 \%$ |
| GSL $30-100 \mathrm{kV}$ | $106.8 \%$ |
| GSL > 100 kV | $109.2 \%$ |
| Area \& Roadway Lighting | $100.0 \%$ |
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## Cost of Service History

Manitoba Hydro has conducted cost of service studies since the mid 1970s. While significant changes have occurred on an evolutionary basis, cost of service studies filed with previous Rate Applications follow generally the same principles that were adopted with early cost of service studies. The significant changes relate mainly to the ability to better forecast customer loads and load factors, and special treatment of items such as DSM or net export revenues. The key features of the study that have remained relatively unchanged since the late 1970s are:

- The study deals with embedded costs (although in 1992 the study changed from using historic costs to forecast costs).
- The study functionalizes utility costs into five main groups: Generation; Transmission; Subtransmission; Distribution Plant and Distribution Services (or Customer Service).
- The study allocates Subtransmission costs on the basis of Non-Coincident Peak Demand only.
- The study allocates Distribution plant costs on the basis of Non-Coincident Peak Demand and Customer Count. The proportion classified on the basis of Demand has been set at 60\% since 1991.
- The study allocates Customer Service costs in several ways, but all are customer-related; allocation among classes is based on the number of customers in each class. For some costs customer numbers are weighted differently for each class, reflecting differences among the classes in the cost to provide the service.
- The study allocates Transmission Demand-related costs on the basis of both winter peak (top 50 coincident hours) and summer peak (also top 50 coincident hours). Prior to 2004 these costs were allocated on the basis of winter peak only.
- The study allocates a credit for net export revenue to all domestic classes in proportion to total allocated costs of all functions. This method was endorsed by the PUB in 2006. Previously the credit was allocated to classes on the same basis as allocated Generation and Transmission costs.


## Methodology used in PCOSS10

Manitoba Hydro has carried out PCOSS10 incorporating many, but not all, of the PUB recommendations emerging from the 2006 Cost of Service review and the 2008 GRA. Below these recommendations are reviewed and the rationale for Manitoba Hydro's approach to the recommendation is set forth.

## 1. Include only a single export class and allocate costs to that class in a manner comparable to the allocation of costs to domestic classes.

PCOSS10 includes only a single export class. After adjusting for energy provided by imports and thermal generation, a share of Manitoba Hydro's Generation and Transmission costs are allocated to the class on the same basis as to domestic customers.

## 2. Directly assign the following costs to the export class:

a. $\mathbf{5 0 \%} \%$ of fixed costs of thermal plant and $100 \%$ of the variable cost of thermal plant.

Fuel and variable maintenance costs for Brandon Unit 5, other than that related to operation necessary for staff proficiency training, have been assigned to the export class.

The remaining operating and maintenance costs, and all fixed costs for the coal plant, have been allocated to the domestic classes, as they are the beneficiaries of the reliability benefits provided by the thermal plant.

Due to climate change legislation contained in Bill 15, use of the Brandon Unit 5 coal generating station will be limited to emergency use only after December 31st, 2009. Since Manitoba Hydro can then no longer use coal-fired generation to support exports, all the fixed and variable costs will be assigned entirely to the domestic classes in future studies.

Since gas-fired generation is almost never used to support exports, PCOSS10 assigns the cost of gas-fired thermal plants entirely to the domestic classes, as the plants provide dispatchable energy for the benefit of these customers.

## b. Assign DSM costs directly to the export class and add DSM energy savings to domestic load for generation cost-sharing purposes.

PCOSS10 does not incorporate this direction. In PCOSS10, DSM costs are simply assigned to the customer classes benefiting from the DSM programming, in the same manner as carried out prior to PCOSS08. This process reasonably assigns costs in accordance with the classes which benefit from the expenditures, is relatively simple to carry out, and avoids methodological complications associated with tracking cumulative DSM energy and capacity savings. Manitoba Hydro does not have detailed historic data on realized DSM savings by rate class.

The cost of programs that do not pass Manitoba Hydro's screening process for inclusion in the Power Smart plan, but are instead funded by the Affordable Energy Fund (AEF), cannot be directly assigned to the customer classes and still reflect cost causation. These costs have been charged directly to the export class in this study.

## c. Assign certain costs directly against the export class; including "trading desk" related costs, MAPP and MISO costs, purchased power costs and the costs associated with accessing US transmission.

PCOSS10 incorporates this recommendation with some modifications. Purchased power costs and the costs associated with securing US transmission used to make opportunity export sales have been directly assigned to the export class.

Although the remaining costs also facilitate export sales, they would largely still be incurred in order to achieve the dependable supply required to serve domestic customers. Manitoba Hydro has designed its system to use imports to meet its dependable energy requirements, as it is more cost effective than building the additional thermal plants that would otherwise be required. The trading desk provides benefits to domestic customers by facilitating these purchases, and energy required during periods of prolonged drought, or in the event of a major generation or transmission failure. Similarly MISO and MAPP memberships would still be required in the absence of export activities in order to gain access to the required import power. Consequently, only the portion of these costs that can
be directly attributed to Manitoba Hydro's export sales activities has been directly assigned to the export class.

By comparing the current structure, to the hypothetical organizational structure as it would exist without export sales, it was estimated that $42 \%$ of the positions related to the trading desk were purely export related. On this basis $42 \%$ of trading desk and MISO/MAPP membership costs are assigned to the export class. The remaining costs, which would likely exist even in the absence of export sales, have been assigned to the domestic customers due to the benefits that domestic customers receive from interconnection.

As noted previously, all import costs are assigned directly to the export class.

## 3. Use the most recent actual (not forecast) export prices to establish export revenue in the COSS.

PCOSS10 employs Manitoba Hydro’s forecast of export prices for 2009/10, and not the most recent actual prices, as recommended in 116/08. There are several reasons for this:
a. Manitoba Hydro's forecast, not the most recent actual export prices, is used in the IFF that underlies the PCOSS, and which supports Manitoba Hydro's rate requests to the PUB. It is not appropriate to provide a PCOSS which is inconsistent with the IFF. If the most recent actual export prices were used as the basis for the IFF in the current year, the rate increase requirements would be increased relative to using Manitoba Hydro’s forecast.
b. Actual prices are, in some measure, a reflection of actual water flows. Typically high water flows will lead to lower export prices and vice-versa. Since the PCOSS is based on median flows, it should not incorporate export prices which reflect actual flows which are higher (in 2007/08 significantly higher) or lower than median flows.
c. Manitoba Hydro’s forecast of export prices already incorporates historical information about pricing.
d. An examination of the actual experience since 2001 does not indicate superiority of using actual previous price data.
4. Use 12 SEP time periods in the allocation of generation-related costs.

This recommendation has been adopted in PCOSS10.
5. Incorporate Diesel and Export classes in the same fashion as other domestic customer classes.

In PCOSS10 the Diesel and Export classes have been added to Revenue Cost Coverage ("RCC"), Customer, Demand and Energy ("CDE") and Functional Cost Analysis tables included as Schedules B1 to B3.

## 6. Use actual (eight year) energy (SEP) prices and energy use profiles in generation energy weighting process.

In the version of the PCOSS08 filed during the 2008/09 GRA the energy consumption patterns from the last actual year were used to distribute forecast energy consumption into the twelve time periods, which were then weighted by the relative value of SEP energy in each period. The distribution of export energy among the twelve periods in the actual years previous to the PCOSS06 and PCOSS08 were quite different due to different water conditions in 2003/04 versus 2005/06.

The season and time of day that export sales are made by Manitoba Hydro are logically affected by changing water conditions. The pattern of domestic energy use does not share the same connection to water conditions, but is likely affected by variations in weather and other factors from year to year. Manitoba Hydro agrees that using averages improves data quality for the export customers, and to a lesser degree for the domestic classes.

Load Research data is not available to provide domestic consumption profiles over the required twelve periods for years prior to 2002/03. The study has used energy use profiles for the six year period from 2002/03 to the 2007/08 base year of PCOSS10. Future PCOSS will use the full eight year average as data becomes available.

PCOSS10 uses the same methodology with further refinement to the calculation of estimated class demand. In recent studies the coincident peak (CP) and non-coincident peak (NCP) demand have been estimated for each class by applying CP load factor (CP

LF) and coincidence factors (CF) from the most recent Load Research study against forecast class energy. Although not specifically directed, Manitoba Hydro believes using averaged CP LF and CF from multiple Load Research Studies will provide similar improvement in estimating class CP and NCP allocators. Manitoba Hydro does not have sufficient historical information to provide the full eight years, hence in PCOSS10 the average from five years of Load Research results is used for NCP allocators in Schedule D5, and two years for seasonal CP allocators in Schedule D1. Manitoba Hydro will move towards using average factors from the previous eight years, for consistency with sample used to create the energy use profiles, as Load Research data becomes available.

In PCOSS10 the assignment and allocation of costs to the Export class results in net export revenue of $\$ 126$ million to be allocated to domestic customers.

| Gross Export Revenue | $\$ 546$ million |
| :--- | ---: |
| Uniform Rates | $\$ 19$ million |
| Affordable Energy Fund Expenditures | $\$ 4$ million |
| Trading Desk | $\$ 5$ million |
| MISO/MAPP | $\$ 2$ million |
| NEB Cost | $\$ 2$ million |
| Purchased Power and Transmission | $\$ 174$ million |
| Brandon Unit 5 Costs | $\$ 14$ million |
| Allocated Generation \& Transmission (incl. Water rentals) | $\$ 200$ million |
|  |  |
| Net Export Revenue | $\$ 126$ million |

## Treatment of Diesel Funding Agreement in PCOSS10

Allocation of export revenues in the PCOSS is based on total cost to serve in the diesel rate zone, as provided in the Diesel Funding Agreement between Manitoba Hydro, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC) and the four First Nations represented by Manitoba Keewatinook Ininew Okimowin (MKO). As such the total unreduced cost is reflected in the RCC Table in PCOSS10, while revenues for the Diesel class in the schedules are based upon variable costs, upon which the revised diesel rates are based. As a result the RCC in the PCOSS does not reflect the true RCC of the Diesel class.

The RCC calculated using the Diesel Cost of Service Study for 2006/07, upon which interim ex parte rates from PUB Order 176/06 are based, is $86.3 \%$ using revenues of $\$ 4,512,711$ and variable costs of $\$ 5,226,151$. Note that revenue does not include allocated net export revenues, which are currently being applied against the accumulated deficit.
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As has been typical of past PCOSS, the study has been prepared on the basis of a financial forecast incorporating median water flows, specifically, on the basis of IFF08. The level of export sales forecast in this PCOSS reflects this assumption. The PCOSS10 filed herein includes the 2.9 per cent rate increase implemented April 1, 2009 for all customer classes except Area \& Roadway Lighting. PCOSS10 does not include the revenue forecast for the Energy Intensive Industrial Rate, which was denied in its proposed form in PUB Order 112/09.

This Section outlines the three primary tables: Revenue Cost Coverage ("RCC"), Customer, Demand and Energy ("CDE"), and Functional Cost Analysis.

1. Revenue Cost Coverage Tables - This ratio compares revenues of each class to its allocated costs. The RCC ratio provides the relative performance of each rate class over a base of $100 \%$. Schedule B1 outlines the customer class RCC;
2. Customer, Demand and Energy Costs ("CDE") - In this table the components are converted to unit costs using billing determinants, i.e., number of customers, billable demand and kW.h sales. The information in Schedule B2 is intended to provide a comparison of allocated unit costs with the corresponding price in the appropriate rate schedule; and
3. Functional Breakdown - This table identifies the cost of providing each level of service to each customer class. This information could be beneficial when evaluating service extension policies or construction allowance guidelines. Schedule B3 outlines the functional breakdown.
Manitoba Hydro
Prospective Cost Of Service Study
March 31, 2010
Revenue Cost Coverage Analysis
SUMMARY

| Customer Class | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total Cost } \\ (\$ 000) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | RCC \% <br> Pre Export <br> Allocation | Net Export Revenue (\$000) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential | 561,263 | 486,651 | 86.7\% | 54,493 | 541,144 | 96.4\% |
| General Service - Small Non Demand | 115,977 | 111,651 | 96.3\% | 10,925 | 122,576 | 105.7\% |
| General Service - Small Demand | 123,375 | 115,256 | 93.4\% | 11,561 | 126,817 | 102.8\% |
| General Service - Medium | 172,999 | 158,991 | 91.9\% | 16,340 | 175,331 | 101.3\% |
| General Service - Large $0-30 \mathrm{kV}$ | 81,925 | 67,889 | 82.9\% | 7,726 | 75,615 | 92.3\% |
| General Service - Large 30-100kV* | 45,916 | 44,588 | 97.1\% | 4,444 | 49,033 | 106.8\% |
| General Service - Large > 100 kV * <br> *Includes Curtailment Customers | 193,762 | 192,906 | 99.6\% | 18,623 | 211,529 | 109.2\% |
| SEP | 1,513 | 1,315 | 86.9\% | - | 1,315 | 86.9\% |
| Area \& Roadway Lighting | 20,502 | 19,837 | 96.8\% | 657 | 20,494 | 100.0\% |
| Total General Consumers | 1,317,232 | 1,199,084 | 91.0\% | 124,770 | 1,323,853 | 100.5\% |
| Diesel | 12,516 | 4,665 | 37.3\% | 1,229 | 5,895 | 47.1\% |
| Export | 420,122 | 546,121 | 130.0\% | $(125,999)$ | 420,122 | 100.0\% |
| Total System | 1,749,870 | 1,749,870 | 100.0\% | - | 1,749,870 | 100.0\% |


Manitoba Hydro
Prospective Cost Of Service Study - March 31, 2010

| Class | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total Cost } \\ (\$ 000) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Generation } \\ \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 000) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Transmission } \\ \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 000) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% ${ }^{\text {Su }}$ | ransmiss Cost (\$000) | \% | Distribution Cust Service Cost (\$000) | \% | Distribution Plant Cost (\$000) | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential | 506,770 | 197,601 | 39.0\% | 46,764 | 9.2\% | 38,994 | 7.7\% | 55,248 | 10.9\% | 168,163 | 33.2\% |
| General Service - Small Non Demand | 105,052 | 46,052 | 43.8\% | 10,818 | 10.3\% | 7,043 | 6.7\% | 13,792 | 13.1\% | 27,347 | 26.0\% |
| General Service - Small Demand | 111,815 | 60,304 | 53.9\% | 13,291 | 11.9\% | 8,223 | 7.4\% | 3,051 | 2.7\% | 26,946 | 24.1\% |
| General Service - Medium | 156,659 | 89,384 | 57.1\% | 20,069 | 12.8\% | 10,961 | 7.0\% | 4,559 | 2.9\% | 31,685 | 20.2\% |
| General Service - Large <30kV | 74,199 | 44,383 | 59.8\% | 9,865 | 13.3\% | 5,126 | 6.9\% | 2,553 | 3.4\% | 12,272 | 16.5\% |
| General Service - Large $30-100 \mathrm{kV}$ | 41,471 | 29,741 | 71.7\% | 6,374 | 15.4\% | 3,617 | 8.7\% | 1,691 | 4.1\% | 49 | 0.1\% |
| General Service - Large >100kV | 175,139 | 143,770 | 82.1\% | 29,355 | 16.8\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1,991 | 1.1\% | 23 | 0.0\% |
| SEP | 1,513 | 915 | 60.5\% | 242 | 16.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 340 | 22.5\% | 16 | 1.1\% |
| Area \& Roadway Lighting | 19,844 | 2,417 | 12.2\% | 407 | 2.0\% | 563 | 2.8\% | 576 | 2.9\% | 15,882 | 80.0\% |
| Total General Consumers | 1,192,462 | 614,567 | 51.5\% | 137,185 | 11.5\% | 74,528 | 6.2\% | 83,800 | 7.0\% | 282,383 | 23.7\% |
| Diesel | 11,287 | 10,660 | 94.4\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 627 | 5.6\% |
| Export | 420,122 | 367,777 | 87.5\% | 52,345 | 12.5\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Total System | 1,623,871 | 993,004 | 61.2\% | 189,530 | 11.7\% | 74,528 | 4.6\% | 83,800 | 5.2\% | 283,010 | 17.4\% |
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## Organization and Preparation of Forecast Data

This Section provides a basic review of the approaches taken to organize Manitoba Hydro's 2009/10 forecast data for use in the PCOSS and the functionalization and classification of costs in preparation for allocation to customer classes. Allocation methods are explained in Section E. The remainder of this Section is organized as follows:

- Definitions
- Functionalization and Classification Process
- Functionalization and Classification of Capital Related Costs
- Functionalization and Classification of Operating and Administrative Costs
- Adjusted Revenue


## Definitions

Functionalization - Functionalization is the preliminary arrangement of cost according to functions performed by the electric system. Manitoba Hydro has defined its functional levels as follows:

- Generation Function - This function includes all generating facilities, HVDC facilities (excluding Dorsey Converter Station), communication facilities associated with the Generation function and a share of the administration buildings and general equipment.
- Transmission Function - Historically Transmission facilities have included the high voltage ( 100 kV and higher) grid transmission lines. With the methodology changes introduced in the PCOSS02, this has been further refined to include only transmission lines which would be recognized for inclusion in Manitoba Hydro’s Open Access Transmission Tariff. Radial Transmission facilities, including those with voltage greater than 100 kV , are included in the Subtransmission function. In addition to the transmission lines above, this function also includes: Dorsey Converter Station, the high voltage portion of substations, the communications facilities associated with the Transmission
function and a share of the administration buildings, general equipment and substation transformers in stock.
- Ancillary Services Function - This function includes specific items ${ }^{1}$ previously bundled in the Generation or Transmission function. Ancillary Services are services necessary to support the transmission of capacity and energy from resources to load while maintaining reliable operation of the Transmission provider's electrical system. A complete description of the ancillary services offered can be found in the "Functionalization and Classification of Capital Related Costs" section that follows. Although Ancillary Services are functionalized separately, they are included with Transmission for the purpose of presentation.
- Subtransmission Function - This function includes non grid/radial transmission lines (greater than 100 kV ), lower voltage ( 66 kV and 33 kV ) subtransmission lines, the low voltage portion of the substations and a share of communication equipment, administration buildings, general equipment and substation transformers in stock. These facilities are required to bring the power from the common bus network to specific load centres.
- Distribution Plant Function - This function includes the low voltage (less than 33 kV ) distribution lines, the low voltage portion of the substations, meters, metering transformers, distribution transformers and a share of communication equipment, administration buildings, general equipment, and substation transformers in stock.
- Distribution (or Customer) Services Function - This function captures all costs associated with serving the customer after delivery of the energy. This includes such costs as billing and collections, as well as other departmental costs such as Power Smart Energy Services and Rates \& Regulatory. In addition, it includes a share of administration buildings and general equipment associated with these activities.

Classification - The process of classifying functionalized costs into one or more of the following: Demand, Energy and Customer-related cost components for allocation to the classes of service. This process also enables the determination of unit demand, energy and customer costs for each customer class.

[^0]Class of Service - A group of customers having reasonably similar service characteristics, plant facilities requirements, ultimate energy use, and load patterns.

Cost Component - The term used to describe the classification of an electric utility's total operating expenses and capital investment in electric plant as Demand, Energy or Customerrelated costs.

- Customer Costs - Customer costs are costs associated with the carrying of customers on the power system, or the addition of customers to the power system.
- Energy Costs - Energy costs are costs associated with the consumption of electricity over a period of time by customers of the power system.
- Demand Costs - Demand costs are costs associated with the rate of flow of electricity demanded at one point in time and the maximum size (capacity) of facilities required to serve the demands of electric customers.


## Functionalization and Classification Process

Manitoba Hydro’s COSS has been developed with reference to industry standards as well as the design and operating characteristics of its electric system. Manitoba Hydro functionalizes gross and net plant in-service for the purpose of functionalizing interest expense, capital tax, as well as the contributions to or appropriations from reserves. Manitoba Hydro does not perform a traditional allocation of rate base as a rate of return is not measured, but reserve additions required to achieve reasonable financial targets are included as a cost of service. Revenue to cost ratios are used to aid in the evaluation of rate levels.

## Functionalization and Classification of Capital Related Costs

In the preparation of the PCOSS the base year gross investment, that being actual plant in-service as of March 31, 2008, is first functionalized.

Functionalized gross plant investment for 2008 is set forth in Schedule C1. Plant investment is functionalized into the following areas:

- Generation
- Transmission (Domestic, Export)
- Ancillary Service
- Subtransmission
- Distribution Plant
- Distribution Services

Substations are functionalized recognizing Alternating Current ("AC") and Direct Current ("DC") facilities. All DC substations are functionalized as Generation, with the exception of Dorsey Station which is functionalized as Transmission. AC substations are functionalized as Transmission, Subtransmission or Distribution. An analysis of voltage levels, functions, current use, and related books and records of the company, is used to determine the functionalization of the numerous AC substations. Transmission lines and related facilities are functionalized on a comparable basis including analysis of voltage level, current use and function. The Transmission function is separated into facilities used solely by domestic consumers and into facilities used to interconnect Manitoba Hydro’s central transmission grid with neighbouring utilities.

As noted previously Ancillary Services are items that were formerly bundled within the Generation and Transmission function. Separation of these components is done through analysis of individual Generation and Transmission asset components. Classification of Ancillary Services is the same as Transmission costs.

There are six types of Ancillary Services, all of which must be offered by the Transmission provider. Of these six services, the purchaser of this service must purchase two from the Transmission provider:

- Scheduling, System Control and Dispatch Service - Required to schedule the movement of power from, to or within a control area;
- Reactive Supply and Voltage Control from Generation Source Service - Required to maintain Transmission voltages within acceptable limits.

The remaining four other Ancillary Services can be procured from the service provider, self supplied, or purchased from a third party:

- Regulation and Frequency Response Service - Required to provide for the continuous balance of resources (Generation and Transmission) with load and maintaining scheduled interconnections at sixty cycles per second;
- Energy Imbalance Service - Provided when differences occur between scheduled and actual delivery of energy to a load over a single hour;
- Operating Reserve - Spinning Service - Needed to serve load immediately in the event of a system contingency;
- Operating Reserve - Supplemental Reserve Service - Same as spinning reserve, but able to serve load within a short period of time.

All Distribution facilities, farm lines, meters and metering transformers are functionalized as Distribution. Subtransmission facilities are analyzed by voltage level and are functionalized accordingly.

Communication facilities and equipment are functionalized as Generation, Transmission, Subtransmission and Distribution plant. The communication equipment associated with the above functions is based upon the investment in these facilities.

Buildings and other administrative facilities are treated as administration cost centres in the Financial Reporting System ("SAP"). Depreciation costs for these non-facility cost centres, as they are called in SAP, are allocated back to facility cost centres based on specific assessment cycles within the system. These assessments bring facility cost centres to full cost which can then be appropriately functionalized.

The forecast of capital additions consists of major and domestic item additions. The domestic items consist of non-blanket items (facilities specifically identified) and blanket items (facilities broadly identified). Capital items consist of gross additions, salvage material and capital contributions. The functionalization of forecast salvage material and capital contributions follows the same methodology and is treated consistently with the functionalization of gross additions with the exception of the assignment of capital contributions for the Diesel Rate Zone. These contributions are deducted from those functionalized as distribution lines. Contributions in the Diesel Zone are received primarily through work undertaken on district work orders.

Major item additions are functionalized based on the facility being constructed and included in the COS once the new asset is placed in service. Functionalization of domestic items is based on a three-year average of previous domestic item expenditures since the facilities are only broadly defined.

Included in the forecast of capital additions is salvage labour and expense which must be backed out of the forecast additions to arrive at gross investment. The financial forecast assumes salvage labour and expense at $51 \%$ of the salvage material value and the historic cost of facilities being retired at $153 \%$ of the salvage material value. The COSS replicates this process. Salvage labour
and expense affects the forecast of accumulated depreciation, and historic retirement values reduce both gross investment and accumulated depreciation. Schedule C2 details the functionalized gross investment forecast for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2010.

Schedule C3 shows the functionalization of accumulated depreciation forecast for fiscal year ending March 31, 2010. Accumulated depreciation for diesel generation, street lighting (asset class distribution lines), and HVDC (asset class substation and transmission lines) are assigned. For the remaining functional costs, accumulated depreciation by asset class is prorated based upon functionalized gross investment (opening balance).

The customer contributions are shown in Schedules C4 and C5. Unamortized customer contributions can be found in Schedule C4; whereas Schedule C5 details the functionalization of customer contribution amortization. Schedule C6 outlines the functionalized net depreciation expense. Schedule C7 shows the net investment for fiscal year end 2010.

Depreciation expense, both direct facility depreciation and allocated administrative depreciation, is separated from operating costs. The Corporation periodically undertakes a depreciation study to ensure that amortization of assets is commensurate with the actual life of a particular asset. The last such review was in fiscal year 2004/05, these revised rates are reflected in the PCOSS10. Functionalized depreciation expense is also matched and adjusted to reflect amortization of customer contributions.

Schedule C8 outlines Rate Base Investment which is used to functionalize net interest expense as well as the forecasted contribution to reserves. The rate base is the average of the net plant in-service forecast for fiscal years 2008/09 and 2009/10 with adjustments for net deferred assets (calculation of the average investment can be found in Schedule C15). Schedule C9 follows with the functionalized net interest and reserve contribution.

Schedule C10 shows the functionalization of rate base for capital tax at March 31, 2010 (gross investment less accumulated depreciation) adjusted to include net deferred expenses which is used to functionalize forecast capital tax assessment. The functionalization of the forecast capital tax assessment for 2009/10 is shown on Schedule C11.

## Functionalization and Classification of Operating and Administrative Costs

The PCOSS is based on revenue and cost data contained in the Corporation's Integrated Financial Forecast ("IFF"), supplemented with the use of Manitoba Hydro's Financial Reporting System, SAP.

Schedule C12 outlines operating costs by function and sub-functions. As with net depreciation expense, these values are determined from the Financial Reporting System (SAP) and include allocations for administrative costs. SAP, via settlement cost centres, provides the initial functionalization of all functional operating and maintenance costs as well as depreciation expense. Final functionalization is done off-line and includes functionalization of items such as communication system costs to all functions except customer service. Other off-line changes include classification of distribution costs into customer and demand components. This approach used to classify distribution facilities is common to regulatory practices elsewhere. The demand/customer split by component is summarized below:

|  | COST CLASSIFICATION |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| DISTRIBUTION FACILITIES | DEMAND | CUSTOMER |
| Substation | $100 \%$ |  |
| Line Transformers | $100 \%$ |  |
| Pole, Wire and Related Facilities | $60 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| Meters and Metering Transformers |  | $100 \%$ |
| Services |  | $100 \%$ |

## Adjusted Revenue

Schedule C13 details class revenue and the allocation of adjustments to arrive at class/subclass revenue contained in the PCOSS. Unadjusted revenue by rate class/subclass is taken from the Proof of Revenue calculation.

Class revenue includes an adjustment to offset any revenue reduction that resulted from implementation of the uniform rates legislation that equalized northern, urban and rural rates throughout the province. The adjustment is necessary to ensure that the cost of implementing uniform rates is broadly shared, and not solely borne by the affected classes’ former Zone 1 customers through degradation of the class RCC. The class revenue reduction percentages were calculated by dividing the total revenue for each class after uniform rates by that prior to the adoption of uniform rates. The reduction percentages are applied to the forecast revenue in the study to determine the adjusted revenue for the class. While the percentages are based on a onetime calculation and are constant, the forecast revenue will vary resulting in a change of the magnitude of the adjustment between studies. In PCOSS10 the revenue adjustment is \$19 million, with the offset charged against net export revenue as per PUB Order 101/04.

The revenue reduction associated with DSM Programs is also assigned to the customer rate classes in the general consumers revenue forecast process. DSM revenue reduction by class is shown below:

| CLASS | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: |
| Residential | $\$ 2,675,766$ |
| General Service Small-Non-Demand | $\$ 1,397,306$ |
| General Service Small-Demand | $\$ 2,502,471$ |
| General Service Medium | $\$ 2,627,234$ |
| General Service Large: |  |
| $0-30 \mathrm{kV}$ | $\$ 1,190,589$ |
| $30-100 \mathrm{kV}$ | $\$ 132,370$ |
| $>100 \mathrm{kV}$ | $\$ 259,582$ |
| Total DSM | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 , 7 8 5 , 3 1 8}$ |

The accrual adjustment represents any forecast increase in either sales or rates over the previous accrual amounts. This adjustment is allocated to the rate classes/subclasses excluding seasonal, large power customers and street lighting. No seasonal accrual is forecast for street lights and large power customers that are billed at month end and therefore no accrual is required.

The general consumer's adjustment which is comprised primarily of late payment charges and some customer adjustments which are not identified to a specific rate class, is also allocated based upon unadjusted revenue excluding large power customers. Although some of this revenue would apply to the large power customer it would be minimal and clearly disproportional to sales.

Reconciliation of revenue in the IFF to that in the Cost of Service is shown on Schedule C14.
FUNCTIONALIZATION OF GROSS INVESTMENT

| Asset Class | Total | Generation | Transmission |  | Sub Trans | Distribution |  | Ancillary Services | Direct Allocation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Domestic | Export |  | Plant | Services |  | Lighting | Diesel |
| GENERATION | 4,535,402,877 | 4,481,742,390 | 53,660,487 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -Thermal | 463,456,864 | 463,456,864 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DIESEL | 42,251,914 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42,251,914 |
| SUBSTATION | 1,055,398,155 |  | 318,602,225 | 64,406,532 | 170,509,832 | 489,833,110 |  | 12,046,456 |  |  |
| - HVDC | 1,197,291,085 | 596,591,135 | 600,699,950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRANSMISSION | 610,475,203 |  | 308,719,716 | 124,478,617 | 177,276,870 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - HVDC | 188,181,438 | 188,181,438 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DISTRIBUTION | 1,881,810,405 |  |  |  |  | 1,742,079,311 |  |  | 136,361,286 | 3,369,808 |
| SUBTRANSMISSION | 249,927,586 |  |  |  | 239,323,807 | 10,603,779 |  |  |  |  |
| TRANSFORMERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - SUBSTATION | 15,614,300 |  | 4,768,048 | 963,877 | 2,551,768 | 7,330,607 |  |  |  |  |
| - DISTRIBUTION | 6,924,063 |  |  |  |  | 6,924,063 |  |  |  |  |
| METERS | 49,638,491 |  |  |  |  | 49,638,491 |  |  |  |  |
| BUILDINGS | 188,838,496 | 79,733,859 | 20,893,333 | 8,424,383 | 12,383,045 | 43,649,480 | 18,497,436 | - | 4,634,481 | 622,477 |
| COMMUNICATION | 402,810,408 | 81,422,862 | 37,742,116 | 11,763,308 | 75,867,353 | 100,667,365 |  | 95,347,404 |  |  |
| GENERAL EQUIPMENT | 306,624,071 | 71,351,024 | 44,592,780 | 17,921,345 | 26,530,406 | 98,808,830 | 37,993,218 |  | 9,426,468 |  |
| SUBTOTAL | 11,194,645,356 | 5,962,479,572 | 1,389,678,655 | 227,958,062 | 704,443,081 | 2,549,535,036 | 56,490,654 | 107,393,860 | 150,422,235 | 46,244,199 |
| MOTOR VEHICLES | 146,479,644 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL FIXED ASSETS | 11,341,125,000 | 5,962,479,572 | 1,389,678,655 | 227,958,062 | 704,443,081 | 2,549,535,036 | 56,490,654 | 107,393,860 | 150,422,235 | 46,244,199 |

SCHEDULE C2 Functionalization of Gross Investment Forecast
FUNCTIONALIZATION OF GROSS INVESTMENT
FUNCTIONALIZATION OF GROSS INVESTMEN
FORECAST YEAR ENDING MARCH 31, 2010

| Asset Class | Total | Generation | Transmission |  | Sub- <br> Transmission | Distribution |  | Ancillary <br> Services | DIRECT ALLOCATIONS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Domestic | Export |  | Plant | Services |  | Lighting | Diesel |
| GENERATION | 4,813,421,749 | 4,754,725,310 | 58,696,439 | - |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| -Thermal | 480,770,015 | 480,770,015 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| DIESEL | 42,536,849 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 42,536,849 |
| SUBSTATION | 1,134,806,393 | - | 332,168,949 | 64,486,209 | 182,318,119 | 543,771,758 | - | 12,061,359 | - | - |
| - HVDC | 1,279,385,402 | 640,345,826 | 639,039,576 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TRANSMISSION | 630,232,541 | - | 321,220,257 | 127,815,329 | 181,196,955 | - | - | - | - | - |
| - HVDC | 188,462,438 | 188,462,438 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| DISTRIBUTION | 2,106,151,860 | - | - | - | - | 1,963,136,729 | - | - | 139,645,323 | 3,369,808 |
| SUBTRANSMISSION | 267,449,903 | - | - | - | 256,946,587 | 10,503,316 | - | - | - | - |
| TRANSFORMERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - SUBSTATION | 15,614,300 | - | 4,768,048 | 963,877 | 2,551,768 | 7,330,607 | - | - | - | - |
| - DISTRIBUTION | 6,924,063 | - | - | - | - | 6,924,063 | - | - | - | - |
| METERS | 58,367,127 | - | - | - | - | 58,367,127 | - | - | - | - |
| BUILDINGS | 464,091,024 | 197,703,163 | 59,531,450 | 20,784,885 | 27,306,228 | 84,858,017 | 64,275,007 | - | 9,009,796 | 622,477 |
| COMMUNICATION | 479,997,025 | 121,971,702 | 43,034,798 | 13,897,377 | 85,152,173 | 118,930,065 | - | 97,010,910 | - | - |
| GENERAL EQUIPMENT | 406,308,873 | 102,524,363 | 58,544,494 | 23,448,619 | 34,555,970 | 123,694,678 | 51,653,616 | - | 11,887,133 | - |
| SUBTOTAL | 12,374,519,562 | 6,486,502,817 | 1,517,004,011 | 251,396,296 | 770,027,801 | 2,917,516,360 | 115,928,623 | 109,072,268 | 160,542,252 | 46,529,134 |
| MOTOR VEHICLES | 170,363,678 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL FIXED ASSETS | 12,544,883,240 | 6,486,502,817 | 1,517,004,011 | 251,396,296 | 770,027,801 | 2,917,516,360 | 115,928,623 | 109,072,268 | 160,542,252 | 46,529,134 |

2010 PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY
FUNCTIONALIZATION OF ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION
FORECAST YEAR ENDING MARCH 31, 2010

| Asset Class | Accum Depn by Asset Class | Generation | Transmission |  | Sub <br> Trans | Distribution |  | Ancillary Services | DIRECT ALLOCATIONS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Domestic | Export |  | Plant | Services |  | Lighting | Diesel |
| GENERATION | 1,575,811,878 | 1,555,968,674 | 19,843,204 | - |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| -Thermal | 247,484,099 | 247,484,099 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| DIESEL | 32,586,169 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 32,586,169 |
| SUBSTATION | 433,841,039 | - | 122,489,542 | 22,045,437 | 87,338,110 | 191,021,790 | - | 10,946,160 | - | - |
| - HVDC | 671,507,673 | 363,089,254 | 308,418,418 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TRANSMISSION | 206,223,497 | - | 109,984,747 | 48,305,033 | 47,933,716 | - | - | - | - | - |
| - HVDC | 73,830,445 | 73,830,445 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| DISTRIBUTION | 889,503,137 | - | - | - | - | 811,545,515 | - | - | 75,970,831 | 1,986,791 |
| SUBTRANSMISSION | 97,615,056 | - | - | - | 93,520,415 | 4,094,641 | - | - | - | - |
| TRANSFORMERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - SUBSTATION | 10,927,680 | - | 3,148,878 | 560,743 | 2,276,585 | 4,941,474 | - | - | - | - |
| - DISTRIBUTION | 2,523,462 | - | - | - | - | 2,523,462 | - | - | - | - |
| METERS | 20,165,398 | - | - | - | - | 20,165,398 | - | - | - | - |
| BUILDINGS | 51,987,065 | 20,381,593 | 6,103,603 | 2,446,501 | 3,581,465 | 12,425,815 | 5,564,113 |  | 1,319,309 | 164,667 |
| COMMUNICATION | 185,835,497 | 47,906,403 | 15,100,548 | 4,133,801 | 33,528,360 | 35,375,939 | - | 49,790,447 | - | - |
| GENERAL EQUIPMENT | 186,707,471 | 52,714,884 | 26,485,347 | 10,661,041 | 15,722,180 | 56,872,363 | 18,818,759 |  | 5,432,897 | - |
| SUBTOTAL | 4,686,549,567 | 2,361,375,352 | 611,574,286 | 88,152,556 | 283,900,832 | 1,138,966,397 | 24,382,872 | 60,736,607 | 82,723,038 | 34,737,627 |
| MOTOR VEHICLES | 68,388,183 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL ACCUM DEPRECIATION | 4,754,937,750 | 2,361,375,352 | 611,574,286 | 88,152,556 | 283,900,832 | 1,138,966,397 | 24,382,872 | 60,736,607 | 82,723,038 | 34,737,627 |

SCHEDULE C4
Functionalization of Capital Contributions Unamortized Balance
2010 PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY
FUNCTIONALIZATION OF CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS
FORECAST YEAR ENDING MARCH 31, 2010

| Asset Class | Unamortized Capital Contribution | Generation | Transmission |  | Sub- <br> Transmission | Distribution |  |  | Ancillary Services |  | DIRECT ALLOCATIONS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Domestic | Export |  | Plant | Services |  |  |  | Lighting | Diesel |
| GENERATION | 26,965 | 26,965 | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | - | - |  |
| -Thermal | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| DIESEL | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| SUBSTATION | 27,935,042 | - | 4,617,441 | - | 2,080,426 | 21,237,176 |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| - HVDC | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| TRANSMISSION | 67,784,175 | - | 1,829,812 | 94,630 | 65,859,732 | - |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| - HVDC | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| DISTRIBUTION | 174,337,131 | - | - | - | - | 147,783,823 |  | - |  | - | 26,089,135 | 464,172 |
| SUBTRANSMISSION | 7,449,116 | - | - | - | 7,152,932 | 296,184 |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| TRANSFORMERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - SUBSTATION | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| - DISTRIBUTION | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| METERS | 12,127 | - | - | - | - | 12,127 |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| BUILDINGS | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| COMMUNICATION | 272,175 | 37,144 | 146,313 | 42,127 | 7,999 | 38,593 |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| GENERAL EQUIPMENT | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| SUBTOTAL | 277,816,731 | 64,109 | 6,593,566 | 136,757 | 75,101,089 | 169,367,903 |  | - |  | - | 26,089,135 | 464,172 |
| MOTOR VEHICLES | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL UNAMORTIZED CONTRIBS | 277,816,731 | 64,109 | 6,593,566 | 136,757 | 75,101,089 | 169,367,903 |  | - |  | - | 26,089,135 | 464,172 |

SCHEDULE C5
Functionalization of Capital Contributions Annual Amortization
2010 PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY
FUNCTIONALIZATION OF CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS
FORECAST YEAR ENDING MARCH 31, 2010

| Asset Class | Annual Amortization Contribution | Generation | Transmission |  | Sub - <br> Transmission | Distribution |  |  | Ancillary <br> Services |  | DIRECT ALLOCATIONS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Domestic | Export |  | Plant | Services |  |  |  | Lighting | Diesel |
| GENERATION | 6,780 | 6,780 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -Thermal | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DIESEL | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SUBSTATION <br> - HVDC | $1,461,619$ |  | 227,164 |  | 81,797 | 1,152,658 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRANSMISSION <br> - HVDC | $1,639,850$ |  | 18,855 | 2,774 | 1,618,221 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DISTRIBUTION | 9,966,097 |  |  |  |  | 8,179,644 |  |  |  |  | 1,751,858 | 34,595 |
| SUBTRANSMISSION | 120,759 |  |  |  | 120,759 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRANSFORMERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - SUBSTATION | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - DISTRIBUTION | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| METERS | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BUILDINGS | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COMMUNICATION | 34,932 | 7,524 | 4,390 | 1,646 | 6,008 | 15,364 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GENERAL EQUIPMENT | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SUBTOTAL | 13,230,038 | 14,304 | 250,409 | 4,420 | 1,826,785 | 9,347,667 |  | - |  | - | 1,751,858 | 34,595 |
| MOTOR VEHICLES | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL ANNUAL AMORT | 13,230,038 | 14,304 | 250,409 | 4,420 | 1,826,785 | 9,347,667 |  | - |  | - | 1,751,858 | 34,595 |


2010 PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY FUNCTIONALIZATION OF NET INVESTMENT
FORECAST YEAR ENDING MARCH 31, 2010

| Asset Class | Net Investment | Generation | Transmission |  | SubTransmission | Distribution |  | Ancillary Services | DIRECT ALLOCATIONS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Domestic | Export |  | Plant | Services |  | Lighting | Diesel |
| GENERATION | 3,237,582,906 | 3,198,729,671 | 38,853,235 | - |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |
| -Thermal | 233,285,916 | 233,285,916 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| DIESEL | 9,950,680 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9,950,680 |
| SUBSTATION | 673,030,311 | - | 205,061,966 | 42,440,772 | 92,899,583 | 331,512,792 | - | 1,115,199 |  |  |
| - HVDC | 607,877,730 | 277,256,572 | 330,621,158 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TRANSMISSION | 356,224,869 | - | 209,405,698 | 79,415,665 | 67,403,507 | - | - | - | - | - |
| - HVDC | 114,631,993 | 114,631,993 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| distribution | 1,042,311,592 | - | - | - | - | 1,003,807,391 | - | - | 37,585,357 | 918,845 |
| SUBTRANSMISSION | 162,385,731 | - | - | - | 156,273,240 | 6,112,491 | - | - | - | - |
| TRANSFORMERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - SUBSTATION | 4,686,620 | - | 1,619,170 | 403,134 | 275,183 | 2,389,133 | - | - | - |  |
| - distribution | 4,400,601 | - | - | - | - | 4,400,601 | - | - | - | - |
| METERS | 38,189,601 | - | - | - | - | 38,189,601 | - | - | - | - |
| BUILDINGS | 412,103,959 | 177,321,570 | 53,427,847 | 18,338,385 | 23,724,763 | 72,432,203 | 58,710,894 | - | 7,690,487 | 457,810 |
| COMMUNICATION | 293,889,352 | 74,028,154 | 27,787,938 | 9,721,449 | 51,615,814 | 83,515,534 | - | 47,220,463 | - | - |
| GENERAL EQUIPMENT | 219,601,402 | 49,809,479 | 32,059,147 | 12,787,578 | 18,833,790 | 66,822,315 | 32,834,857 | - | 6,454,236 | - |
| SUBTOTAL | 7,410,153,265 | 4,125,063,355 | 898,836,159 | 163,106,983 | 411,025,880 | 1,609,182,060 | 91,545,751 | 48,335,662 | 51,730,079 | 11,327,335 |
| MOTOR VEHICLES | 101,975,495 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL NET INVESTMENT | 7,512,128,760 | 4,125,063,355 | 898,836,159 | 163,106,983 | 411,025,880 | 1,609,182,060 | 91,545,751 | 48,335,662 | 51,730,079 | 11,327,335 |

SCHEDULE C8
Functionalization of Rate Base Investment

| 2010 PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY FUNCTIONALIZATION OF RATE BASE INVESTMENT FORECAST YEAR ENDING MARCH 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asset Class | Rate Base Investment | Generation | Transmission |  | SubTransmission | Distribution |  | Ancillary Services | DIRECT ALLOCATIONS |  |
|  |  |  | Domestic | Export |  | Plant | Services |  | Lighting | Diesel |
| generation | 3,414,693,075 | 3,375,061,034 | 39,632,041 | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |
| -Thermal | 238,265,992 | 238,265,992 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| DIESEL | 13,492,798 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13,492,798 |
| SUBSTATION | 668,048,793 | - | 205,085,983 | 43,509,430 | 92,130,218 | 326,043,961 | - | 1,279,201 | - |  |
| - HVDC | 607,005,356 | 275,105,784 | 331,899,572 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| TRANSMISSION | 360,153,622 | - | 211,182,341 | 79,819,031 | 69,152,251 | - | - | - | - |  |
| - HVDC | 117,632,158 | 117,632,158 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| distribution | 1,022,198,281 | - | - | - | - | 983,507,371 | - | - | 37,701,850 | 989,060 |
| SUBTRANSMISSIION | 163,609,465 | - | - | - | 157,211,652 | 6,397,814 | - | - | - |  |
| TRANSFORMERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - SUBSTATION | 5,977,489 | - | 2,013,356 | 482,819 | 486,143 | 2,995,171 | - | - | - |  |
| - distribution | 5,060,457 | - | - | - | - | 5,060,457 | - | - | - |  |
| METERS | 35,849,127 | - | - | - | - | 35,849,127 | - | - | - | - |
| BUILDINGS | 400,094,519 | 172,683,450 | 46,825,053 | 17,278,184 | 23,781,836 | 72,706,489 | 58,636,769 | - | 7,719,609 | 463,129 |
| COMMUNICATION | 285,716,867 | 69,034,975 | 27,064,149 | 9,356,377 | 50,831,674 | 80,390,698 | - | 49,038,995 | - |  |
| GENERAL EQUIPMENT | 243,362,211 | 54,454,251 | 35,453,910 | 14,198,331 | 20,976,612 | 75,197,357 | 35,823,527 | - | 7,258,223 |  |
| Subtotal | 7,581,160,211 | 4,302,237,643 | 899,156,405 | 164,644,173 | 414,570,386 | 1,588,148,444 | 94,460,296 | 50,318,196 | 52,679,681 | 14,944,986 |
| MOTOR VEHICLES | 99,921,843 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Rate Base Investment | 7,681,082,054 | 4,302,237,643 | 899,156,405 | 164,644,173 | 414,570,386 | 1,588,148,444 | 94,460,296 | 50,318,196 | 52,679,681 | 14,944,986 |

2010 PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY
FUNCTIONALIZATION OF INTEREST EXPENSE \& RESERVE CONTRIBUTION
FORECAST YEAR ENDING MARCH 31, 2010

| Asset Class | Interest \& Reserve Expense | Generation | Transmission |  | Sub- <br> Transmission | Distribution |  | Ancillary Services | DIRECT ALLOCATIONS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Domestic | Export |  | Plant | Services |  | Lighting | Diesel |
| GENERATION | 279,876,319 | 276,627,983 | 3,248,336 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| -THERMAL | 19,528,844 | 19,528,844 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| DIESEL | 1,105,902 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,105,902 |
| SUBSTATION | 54,754,859 | - | 16,809,332 | 3,566,136 | 7,551,211 | 26,723,334 | - | 104,846 | - | - |
| - HVDC | 49,751,594 | 22,548,321 | 27,203,274 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TRANSMISSION | 29,519,043 | - | 17,309,004 | 6,542,157 | 5,667,882 | - | - | - | - | - |
| - HVDC | 9,641,410 | 9,641,410 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| DISTRIBUTION | 83,781,788 | - | - | - | - | 80,610,590 | - | - | 3,090,133 | 81,066 |
| SUBTRANSMISSION | 13,409,819 | - | - | - | 12,885,439 | 524,380 | - | - | - | - |
| TRANSFORMERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - SUBSTATION | 489,929 | - | 165,019 | 39,573 | 39,845 | 245,491 | - | - | - | - |
| - DISTRIBUTION | 414,767 | - | - | - | - | 414,767 | - | - | - | - |
| METERS | 2,938,279 | - | - | - | - | 2,938,279 | - | - | - | - |
| BUILDINGS | 10,292,468 | 4,442,298 | 1,204,579 | 444,483 | 611,790 | 1,870,381 | 1,508,436 | - | 198,588 | 11,914 |
| COMMUNICATION | 23,418,030 | 5,658,270 | 2,218,242 | 766,871 | 4,166,284 | 6,589,012 | - | 4,019,352 | - | - |
| GENERAL EQUIPMENT | 6,260,515 | 1,400,841 | 912,055 | 365,253 | 539,625 | 1,934,459 | 921,564 | - | 186,718 | - |
| SUBTOTAL | 585,183,566 | 339,847,966 | 69,069,841 | 11,724,472 | 31,462,076 | 121,850,693 | 2,430,000 | 4,124,198 | 3,475,439 | 1,198,881 |
| MOTOR VEHICLES | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Interest Exp Allocated | 585,183,566 | 339,847,966 | 69,069,841 | 11,724,472 | 31,462,076 | 121,850,693 | 2,430,000 | 4,124,198 | 3,475,439 | 1,198,881 |

2010 PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY
FUNCTIONALIZATION OF RATE BASE FOR CAPITAL TAX
FORECAST YEAR ENDING MARCH 31, 2010

| Asset Class | Rate Based for Capital Tax | Generation | Transmission |  | Sub- <br> Transmission | Distribution |  | Ancillary Services | DIRECT ALLOCATIONS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Domestic | Export |  | Plant | Services |  | Lighting | Diesel |
| GENERATION | 3,446,798,498 | 3,407,945,263 | 38,853,235 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| -THERMAL | 234,859,751 | 234,859,751 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| DIESEL | 11,803,104 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11,803,104 |
| SUBSTATION | 673,747,508 | - | 205,280,972 | 42,485,045 | 93,016,791 | 331,849,501 | - | 1,115,199 | - | - |
| - HVDC | 607,877,730 | 277,256,572 | 330,621,158 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TRANSMISSION | 359,809,757 | - | 211,206,282 | 79,462,877 | 69,140,598 | - | - | - | - |  |
| - HVDC | 115,791,206 | 115,791,206 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| DISTRIBUTION | 1,042,311,592 | - | - | - | - | 1,003,807,391 | - | - | 37,585,357 | 918,845 |
| SUBTRANSMISSION | 162,385,731 | - | - | - | 156,273,240 | 6,112,491 | - | - | - | - |
| TRANSFORMERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - SUBSTATION | 5,370,145 |  | 1,827,894 | 445,328 | 386,888 | 2,710,034 | - | - | - |  |
| - DISTRIBUTION | 4,703,706 | - | - | - | - | 4,703,706 | - | - | - | - |
| METERS | 38,189,601 | - | - | - | - | 38,189,601 | - | - | - | - |
| BUILDINGS | 412,629,096 | 177,544,034 | 53,486,141 | 18,361,889 | 23,759,313 | 72,553,988 | 58,762,504 | - | 7,703,417 | 457,810 |
| COMMUNICATION | 293,889,352 | 74,028,154 | 27,787,938 | 9,721,449 | 51,615,814 | 83,515,534 | - | 47,220,463 | - | - |
| GENERAL EQUIPMENT | 234,092,716 | 53,181,589 | 34,166,640 | 13,634,556 | 20,087,639 | 71,492,104 | 34,630,449 | - | 6,899,739 | - |
| SUBTOTAL | 7,644,259,494 | 4,340,606,569 | 903,230,261 | 164,111,144 | 414,280,283 | 1,614,934,351 | 93,392,952 | 48,335,662 | 52,188,513 | 13,179,759 |
| MOTOR VEHICLES | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rate Base for Capital Tax | 7,644,259,494 | 4,340,606,569 | 903,230,261 | 164,111,144 | 414,280,283 | 1,614,934,351 | 93,392,952 | 48,335,662 | 52,188,513 | 13,179,759 |

SCHEDULE C11
Functionalization of Capital Tax
2010 PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY
FUNCTIONALIZATION OF CAPITAL TAX
FORECAST YEAR ENDING MARCH 31, 2010

| Asset Class | Capital Tax | Generation | Transmission |  | Sub- <br> Transmission | Distribution |  | Ancillary Services | DIRECT ALLOCATIONS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Transmi | Export |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { Distrib }}$ | Services |  | Lighting | Diesel |
| GENERATION | 20,265,419 | 20,036,982 | 228,437 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| -Thermal | 1,380,856 | 1,380,856 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| DIESEL | 69,396 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 69,396 |
| SUBSTATION | 3,961,292 | - | 1,206,948 | 249,790 | 546,891 | 1,951,106 | - | 6,557 | - |  |
| - HVDC | 3,574,011 | 1,630,127 | 1,943,884 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TRANSMISSION | 2,115,498 | - | 1,241,785 | 467,201 | 406,511 | - | - | - | - | - |
| - HVDC | 680,793 | 680,793 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| DISTRIBUTION | 6,128,261 | - | - | - | - | 5,901,876 | - | - | 220,983 | 5,402 |
| SUBTRANSMISSION | 954,745 | - | - | - | 918,807 | 35,938 | - | - | - | - |
| TRANSFORMERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - SUBSTATION | 31,574 | - | 10,747 | 2,618 | 2,275 | 15,934 | - | - | - | - |
| - DISTRIBUTION | 27,655 | - | - | - | - | 27,655 | - | - | - | - |
| METERS | 224,535 | - | - | - | - | 224,535 | - | - | - | - |
| BUILDINGS | 2,426,049 | 1,043,868 | 314,471 | 107,959 | 139,693 | 426,580 | 345,494 | - | 45,292 | 2,692 |
| COMMUNICATION | 1,727,920 | 435,248 | 163,379 | 57,157 | 303,475 | 491,029 | - | 277,632 | - | - |
| GENERAL EQUIPMENT | 1,376,346 | 312,681 | 200,882 | 80,164 | 118,105 | 420,337 | 203,609 | - | 40,567 | $-$ |
| SUBTOTAL | 44,944,351 | 25,520,555 | 5,310,534 | 964,890 | 2,435,757 | 9,494,991 | 549,103 | 284,189 | 306,842 | 77,490 |
| MOTOR VEHICLES | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Tax Allocation | 44,944,351 | 25,520,555 | 5,310,534 | 964,890 | 2,435,757 | 9,494,991 | 549,103 | 284,189 | 306,842 | 77,490 |
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ADJUSTED REVENUE INCLUDING DSM REDUCTION @ APPROVED RATES

| Revenue Class | Unadjusted Revenue | Diesel |  | To Misc Revenue |  | Other Accrual | General Consumer Adjustment | Total adjusted Revenue | Export Adj to Offset Uniform Rates | Total Revenue After Uniform Rates Adjustment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential | 458,232,333 |  |  |  |  | 1,207,076 | 2,414,601 | 461,854,010 | 16,127,942 | 477,981,952 |
| Seasonal | 6,144,601 |  |  |  |  |  | 32,378 | 6,176,979 | 1,210,070 | 7,387,049 |
| Water Heating | 1,271,754 |  |  |  |  | 3,350 | 6,701 | 1,281,805 |  | 1,281,805 |
|  | 465,648,688 |  | - |  |  | 1,210,426 | 2,453,680 | 469,312,794 | 17,338,012 | 486,650,807 |
| General Service - Small |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non Demand | 108,304,195 |  |  |  |  | 285,295 | 570,696 | 109,160,186 | 1,439,823 | 110,600,009 |
| Seasonal | 477,112 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,514 | 479,626 | 34,288 | 513,915 |
| Water Heating | 532,935 |  |  |  |  | 1,404 | 2,808 | 537,147 |  | 537,147 |
| Total Non Demand | 109,314,242 |  | - |  |  | 286,699 | 576,018 | 110,176,959 | 1,474,111 | 111,651,071 |
| Demand | 113,988,539 |  |  |  |  | 300,269 | 600,649 | 114,889,456 | 366,497 | 115,255,954 |
|  | 113,988,539 |  | - |  | - | 300,269 | 600,649 | 114,889,456 | 366,497 | 115,255,954 |
| SEP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GSM | 1,133,081 |  |  |  |  | 2985 | 5970.635484 | 1,142,036.40 |  | 1,142,036 |
| GSL | 173,221 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 173,221 |  | 173,221 |
|  | 1,306,302 |  |  |  |  | 2,985 | 5,971 | 1,315,257 | - | 1,315,257 |
| General Service - Medium | 157,709,549 |  |  |  |  | 415,439 | 831,032 | 158,956,019 | 34,970 | 158,990,990 |
|  | 157,709,549 |  | - |  |  | 415,439 | 831,032 | 158,956,019 | 34,970 | 158,990,990 |



| General Service - Large |
| :--- |
| $0-30 \mathrm{Kv}$ |
| $30-100 \mathrm{Kv}$ |
| $31-100 \mathrm{Kv}$ Curtailable |
| Over - 100 Kv |
| Over - 100 Kv Curtailable |
| Area \& Roadway Lighting |
| Street Lighting |
| Sentinel Lighting |
| Diesel |
| Residential |
| General Service |
| Street Lighting |
| Full Cost |
| Construction Power |
| Gen. Consumers Before Adj |
| Accrual - Other |
| Seasonal Adjustment |
| Miscellaneous - Non-Energy |
| Customer Acctg. Adjustment |
| Late Pmt Charges \& Cust Adj |
| Total General Consumers |
| Extra-Provincial |
| Other (Non Energy net of Subs) |
| Total Revenue |
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## RECONCILIATION TO FINANCIAL FORECAST (In Millions of Dollars)

Reconciliation of Revenue
As per Financial Forecast:
General Consumers Revenue ..... 1,159.0
Additional GCR ..... 45.0
Extra Provincial Revenue ..... 545.6
Other Revenue (non-energy)6.8
Total Revenue Per Financial Forecast ..... \$ 1,756.4
Cost of Service Adjustments
a. Transfer of Other Revenue (non-energy) to Miscellaneous Revenue(6.8)
b. Correction to GCR; Additional GCR of $2.8 \%$ vs $4 \%$ in IFF ..... (6.0)
c. Remove Energy Intensive Industrial Rate Revenue(13.2)
d. Uniform Rates Adjustment ..... 19.4Total Revenue Per Cost of Service Study\$ 1,749.8
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## Rate Base Calculation and Deferred Items

Allocation of net interest expense and reserve contribution is based upon average net plant inservice forecast for fiscal years 2009 and 2010 adjusted for net deferred items and net major capital additions forecast to come into service during fiscal year 2009/10 which are included on an in-service date basis. This calculation is summarized below:

|  | 2009 |  | $\underline{2010}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Investment (Excluding Motor Vehicles) | \$ | 7,240.8 | \$ | 7,410.2 |
| Add: Total Net Deferred Items |  | 277.3 |  | 234.1 |
| Less: Major Capital Item Additions 2010 |  |  |  | (166.3) |
|  | \$ | 7,518.1 | \$ | 7,478.0 |
| Average Investment (2009 + 2010) $\div 2$ |  |  | \$ | 7,498.0 |
| Add: Major Capital Item Additions 2010 on an in-service date basis |  |  |  | 83.1 |
|  |  |  | \$ | 7,581.2 |
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SECTION D: LOAD INFORMATION
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Load data used in the preparation of the PCOSS for 2009/10 has been estimated using forecast energy and peak demand from the System Load Forecast and available class load information.

In PCOSS10 Manitoba Hydro introduced the use of averaged results from multiple Load Research studies to minimize year-to-year variation in the factors used to estimate class demands. The average will be based on the past eight Load Research studies, and will be phased in as data becomes available.

Load research data is used to estimate the average top 50 hourly peaks during both the summer and winter. Class data for 2005/06 and 2007/08 is used in the PCOSS to estimate this average seasonal class demand. Load research data used to estimate non-coincident peaks are based on the five year average of 2002/03 to 2005/06 and 2007/08 data.

Also included is a forecast of energy and capacity savings to be achieved through DSM Programs. For 2009/10 the DSM savings are forecast to be 205.3 GW.h and 57.7 MW at Generation, or 181.3 and 50.8 measured at the meter.

Schedule D1 outlines Manitoba Hydro's calculation of forecast demand for the 2009/10 fiscal year. Forecast consumption by rate class is shown seasonally; seasonal energies are displayed to demonstrate the calculation of the demand allocator. The average of winter and summer demands (2 CP) is used to allocate Transmission related costs.

Generation costs are allocated based on energies weighted by relative value of SEP energy in each of the twelve time of use periods: Winter Peak/Off-Peak/Shoulder, Spring Peak/OffPeak/Shoulder, Summer Peak/Off-Peak/Shoulder and Fall Peak/Off-Peak/Shoulder. The development of these allocators is outlined in Schedule D2.

Schedule D3 shows the computation of expected Transmission and Distribution losses on Manitoba Hydro’s Integrated System. Common bus energy and coincident peak losses of 2,183,018 MW.h and 343.3 MW respectively have been taken from the 2008 System Load Forecast and adjusted to reflect forecast DSM savings. These losses apply to forecast Manitoba Hydro firm energy and peak. Distribution energy losses are simply the difference between sales
at meters and energy at common bus. Distribution losses at time of system peak are calculated in Schedule D4 based on the approach used by Mr. M. W. Gustafson in his article, "Approximating the System Loss Equation". The adjustment factor of $-13 \%$ for temperature reflects the reduction in the resistivity of conductors between $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ being the average Winnipeg temperature and the ambient temperature on the peak load day usually being around $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Schedule D3 also shows the difference between total coincident peak calculated by applying class load factors in the PCOSS10 from the system peak forecasted in the 2008 System Load Forecast for the 2010 fiscal year. This difference of 154 MW is applied as an adjustment to all classes’ estimated coincident peak based upon Load Research results.

Schedule D5 summarizes the load data and computations for all customer classes. The sources of data and derivation of estimates are elaborated upon below.

## Assignment of Losses

In order to properly reflect cost causation in allocating energy and capacity costs, energy sales and demand must be measured at Generation as opposed to the meter. This is accomplished by assigning Distribution and Transmission losses to each of the rate classes based upon the voltage level in which they receive service.

In this process, Distribution energy losses are assigned first. Customers receiving service at greater than 30 kV have been assigned losses based upon a uniform percentage of metered sales (1.5\%). Customers receiving service at supply voltage less than 30 kV share in the residual losses. A differential percentage has been assigned depending upon whether service is taken at primary or secondary voltage level. General Service Small - Three Phase, General Service Medium and General Service Large are assumed to receive service at a primary service level, while Residential, Area and Roadway Lighting and General Service Small - Single Phase are assumed to receive service at the secondary level. Capacity losses on the Distribution system are assigned in a similar manner.

The table below summarizes the assignment of the Distribution energy loss differential and Schedule D6 shows the results of this assignment based upon sales at the meter for both energy and capacity.

| Residual Losses Assigned on a Differential Percentage Basis |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Secondary | $+1.6 \%$ |
| Primary - Utility-owned transformation | $-0.1 \%$ |
| Primary - Customer-owned transformation | $-1.0 \%$ |

Transmission losses are shared equally by all rate classes based upon deliveries from common bus, i.e., sales at the meter plus assigned distribution losses.

## Load Research Project

Manitoba Hydro has made a commitment to an active program of electric load research. One of the reasons for undertaking this program is to support Cost of Service Studies with particular emphasis on cost causation to aid in rate design. In addition, the program is to support an aggressive DSM Program through improved end-use load and energy data and to support the Load Forecast function as it adopts forecasting methods which rely on end-use based procedures to forecast sales and loads by customer class. The scope of Load Research has also been expanded in order to integrate the load shapes of those customers in the former Winnipeg Hydro service area.

For Cost of Service/Rate Design, there are twelve groups overall for which the project is to provide demand and energy estimates with known precision, i.e., $90 \%$ confidence with an accuracy of $\pm 10 \%$. To obtain this objective, a sample size of 1,260 customers was selected from Manitoba Hydro’s various customer classes. All General Service Large $30-100 \mathrm{kV}$ and $>100 \mathrm{kV}$ customers are sampled.

## Development of Class Loads

## 1. Residential Class

The 2009/10 forecast kW.h sales to the Residential Class and the forecast number of customers are taken from the 2008 System Load Forecast. Load Forecasting provides separate information for Flat Rate Water Heating and Seasonal customers.

In Schedule D5, energy sales have been reduced by the forecast savings of 42 GW.h applicable to the residential DSM Programs before energy at the customer meter is grossed up by Distribution losses and Transmission losses to yield estimated energy generated to serve the various subclasses of the Residential Class.

The coincident peak demand at the customer meters has been estimated by applying coincident peak load factors to the kW.h sales. Coincident peak load factors have been developed from data from the last two load research studies, and are based on the average top 50 hourly peaks during the winter and summer seasons.

The Flat Rate Water Heating Class coincident demand is estimated on the basis of 1 kW customer peak and $80 \%$ coincident factor of individual customers with the system peak.

The Seasonal Class coincident peak load factor is taken from previous Peak Load Responsibility studies as new information from Load Research is limited. The coincident peak load factor was previously determined to be $157.8 \%$.

The estimated coincident peaks at the meter have been adjusted by 123.4 MW to incorporate Residential's share of the total calibration factor derived in Schedule D3. The applicable share of each class's contribution to the calibration factor is provided by the error margin in the Load Research sample.

These loads have been reduced by the forecast capacity savings of 8.4 MW to be achieved through the residential DSM Programs.

Estimated Distribution losses and Transmission losses are applied to provide the estimate of class coincident peak at Generation. Load Research results are then applied to yield class non-coincident peaks at meter and at generation.

## 2. General Service Small Class

The General Service Small class consists of two major subgroups; customers who are demand metered (General Service Small Demand, load over 50 kV.A billing demand, but not exceeding $200 \mathrm{kV} . \mathrm{A}$ ) and those with no demand meters (General Service Small Non-Demand, load less than $50 \mathrm{kV} . A$ billing demand). In addition, loads shown in Schedule D5 have been separated into single phase and three phase based upon 2008 data. Also shown are loads for small subgroups: Water Heating and Seasonal.

As with the Residential Class, General Service Small kW.h sales and customer counts are taken from the 2008 System Load Forecast and further processed to yield the rate subgroup forecasts shown in Schedule D5. Also similarly, the sales have been reduced by the forecast DSM energy and capacity savings of 61.2 GW.h and 21.7 MW before being grossed up to include Distribution and Transmission losses.

For the General Service Small classes the coincident peak load factors were determined using load research information, with the same load factors applied to both single and three phase customers.

Coincident peak load factors for the small subgroups have been estimated using approaches similar to those employed for past studies as new information from Load Research is limited. The Seasonal coincident peak load factor of $162.5 \%$ is the same as used in previous studies.

The estimated coincident peaks at the meter have been adjusted by 23.1 MW to reflect General Service Small share of the adjustment required to reconcile coincident peak at meter.

The coincident peak load factors are used to derive class coincident peak's at the meter. Distribution and Transmission peak MW losses are added to give coincident peak at Generation. Finally, class coincidence factors, based on the load research information have been applied to derive class non-coincident peaks.

## 3. General Service Medium

General Service Medium includes 1,841 customers with demands between 200 and $2,000 \mathrm{kV}$.A, who are served through utility-owned transformation. All these customers are demand metered. A few, mainly those served above distribution voltages, have historically been metered with recording pulse meters which provide a permanent record
of 15-minute interval demands. Currently there are 265 pulse metered customers included in the Load Research sample.

Customer and kW.h sales data are derived from the load forecast and apportioned among service voltages on the basis of recent past experience. DSM savings of 43.8 GW.h and 12.8 MW have been assigned to this class.

General Service Medium estimated coincident peaks at the meter have been adjusted by 7.6 MW to reflect their share of the adjustment required to reconcile coincident peak at the meter.

Most General Service Medium customers are served at Distribution voltages and therefore are assigned responsibility for the same percentage losses as General Service Small three phase customers.

## 4. General Service Large

For customers in this class load information has been historically available. Sixty-two percent of the customers in the $0-30 \mathrm{kV}$ subclass, $100 \%$ of the customers in the $30-100$ kV subclass and $100 \%$ of the customers in the over 100 kV subclass are pulse metered.

The estimated coincident peaks at the meter have been adjusted by 0.2 MW to reflect General Service Large's share of the adjustment required to reconcile coincident peak at meter.

DSM savings assigned to this class total 33.8 GW.h and 8.0 MW.

Customers over 100 kV in this class are not assigned distribution losses. For customers served at 30-100 kV distribution energy losses are equal to $1.5 \%$ of sales.

## 5. Surplus Energy Program

Surplus Energy Program (SEP) energy sales are taken from the 2008 System Load Forecast. Customers and forecast energy have been separated into service voltage levels and into utility or customer owned transformation.

Distribution and Transmission losses are assigned consistent with the other rate classifications, service voltage levels and transformation ownership.

## 6. Area and Roadway Lighting

## Sentinel Lights

Sentinel light energy consumption and customer count are taken from the 2008 System Load Forecast. The class non-coincident peak results from the total wattage of luminaires served. Load Research indicates that these luminaires are lighted, on average $38.2 \%$ of the peak 50 hours, with a class coincident peak of $119.7 \%$. These factors are applied to forecast energy to yield forecast peaks for the class.

No DSM savings have been assigned to Sentinel Lighting class as past DSM is now fully reflected in load forecast estimates.

Sentinel lights are served from the Distribution system and are therefore assigned the same energy and peak loss percentage as the Residential Class.

## Street Lights

Street light energy consumption forecast for 2009/10 is based on the inventory wattage multiplied by 4,252 hours of use per year, a figure based on Load Research results. The customer count is based on June 2008 actual billing data plus forecast additions to the system of 3,040 lights to year end 2010. Street lights also show a class coincident peak load factor of $119.7 \%$ and coincidence factor of $38.2 \%$. No DSM savings have been assigned to these customers as past DSM is already fully reflected in inventory wattage data.





SCHEDULE D3

# MANITOBA HYDRO <br> PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY <br> March 31, 2010 

## CALCULATION OF LOSSES

| ENERGY (in MWh) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MANITOBA } \\ \text { HYDRO } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Firm Energy at Generation (After DSM) | 24,920,125,444 |
| Common Bus Losses (After DSM) | 2,183,017,784 |
| Deliveries From Common Bus | 22,737,107,660 |
| Sales at Meter | 21,800,196,244 |
| Distribution Losses | 936,911,416 |
| DEMAND (in MW) | MANITOBA HYDRO |
| Firm Peak Capacity At Generation (After DSM) | 4,427.8 |
| Common Bus Losses (After DSM) | 343.3 |
| Deliveries From Common Bus | 4,084.5 |
| Calculated Distribution Losses | 274.9 |
| Calculated Demand at Meter (CP Load Factors) | 3,654.4 |
| Less: Adj made for curtailable load added back | (0.9) |
| Adjustment To Reconcile | 154.3 |

SCHEDULE D4
Determination of Coincident Peak Distribution Losses

## MANITOBA HYDRO <br> 2010 PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY <br> March 31, 2010 DETERMINATION OF COINCIDENT PEAK DISTRIBUTION LOSSES

1) ENERGY SALES AND TOTAL LOSSES ON DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM

|  | Sales | Losses <br> Common Bus $@$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| RESIDENTIAL | $6,811,217,752$ | $475,782,649$ | $7,287,000,401$ |  |
| G.S.S. SINGLE PHASE | $1,294,700,733$ | $90,438,475$ | $1,385,139,208$ |  |
| G.S.S. THREE PHASE | $2,166,898,469$ | $114,526,657$ | $2,281,425,126$ |  |
| *G.S.M. | $3,051,904,757$ | $161,301,720$ | $3,213,206,477$ |  |
|  | G.S.L. O-30 | $1,536,122,369$ | $67,363,271$ | $1,603,485,640$ |
| G.S.L. 30-100 | $1,151,746,446$ | $17,276,197$ | $1,169,022,643$ |  |
| LIGHTING | $99,431,568$ | $6,945,574$ | $106,377,142$ |  |
| MAN. HYDRO CONSTRUCTION | $62,000,000$ | $3,276,874$ | $65,276,874$ |  |
|  | $16,174,022,094$ | $936,911,416$ | $17,110,933,510$ |  |

2) COINCIDENT PEAK AT COMMON BUS

| C.P. AT GENERATION |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| LESS SALES AT CB LEVEL : | $4,427.77$ |
| - EXPORTS |  |
| $\quad$ - *G.S.L. $>100$ | 0.00 |
| C.B. LOSSES | $(364.21)$ |
| EXPORT LOSSES | $(343.28)$ |
| COINCIDENT PEAK AT COMMON BUS | 0.00 |

3) LOAD FACTOR AT COMMON BUS 52.5\%
(Hours per Year $=8,760$ )
4) EQUIVALENT HOURS LOSS FACTOR
```
EQF = (0.08 x 52.5%) + (0.92 x (52.5%)}\mp@subsup{)}{}{2}
    = 0.295618
```

5) NO LOAD LOSS FACTOR AS A PERCENTAGE OF DISTRIBUTION ENERGY LOSSES

| a) $936,911 \times 0.1800$ | $=$ | 168,644 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MW.H |  |  |
| b) $\frac{936,911 \times 0.1800}{8,760}$ | $=$ | 19.3 MW @ PEAK |

6) CO-EFFICIENT OF SYSTEM LOSSES

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{936,911 .-168,644}{8,760 \times(3,720.28)^{2} \times 0.29562} \\
& =0.000021
\end{aligned}
$$

7) SYSTEM DISTRIBUTION LOSSES AT PEAK

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
= & 19.25+0.000021 \mathrm{X}(3,720.28)^{2} \\
= & 315.92
\end{array}
$$

8) ADJUSTMENT FACTOR FOR TEMPERATURE -13.0\%
9) SYSTEM DISTRIBUTION LOSSES AT PEAK ASSIGNED IN COSS 274.854 MW
10) RELATIONSHIP PEAK TO AVERAGE LOSSES (based on sales @ meter).

| AVERAGE (KW.h) | $936,911 / 16,174,022$ | $=5.79 \%$ |
| :---: | ---: | :--- |
| PEAK (MW) | $274.85 / 3,445.430$ | $=7.98 \%$ |



$\dagger$ Demand for curtailable customers is forecast as if customers are not curtailed at time of system peak.

# PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY 

March 31, 2010

| Distribution Energy Losses Expressed as a \%'age of Kwh @ meter |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Class Avg |
| Export Sales | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| GS Large |  |
| $<30$ | $4.4 \%$ |
| $30-100$ | $1.5 \%$ |
| $>100$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  | $5.3 \%$ |
| GS Medium |  |
| GS Small | $5.3 \%$ |
| 3 Phase | $7.0 \%$ |
| 1 Phase | $7.0 \%$ |
| Residential | $7.0 \%$ |

## PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY

March 31, 2010

Distribution Capacity Losses Expressed as a \%'age of MW @ meter

Class Avg

|  | Class Avg |
| :---: | :---: |
| Export Sales | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  |  |
| GS Large | $6.5 \%$ |
| $<30$ | $2.1 \%$ |
| $30-100$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| $>100$ | $7.7 \%$ |
| GS Medium |  |
|  |  |
| GS Small | $7.7 \%$ |
| 3 Phase | $10.1 \%$ |
| 1 Phase | $10.1 \%$ |
| Residential | $10.1 \%$ |
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# MANITOBA HYDRO <br> PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING <br> MARCH 31, 2010 

## SECTION E: ALLOCATION METHODS

# MANITOBA HYDRO <br> PROSPECTIVE COST OF SERVICE STUDY FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING <br> MARCH 31, 2010 

Costs that have been functionalized and classified by cost component in Section C are allocated to the customer rate classes. Allocation methods are based upon:

- direct identification;
- the class's share of load (kW demand and kW.h consumption) to the system load; or
- the number of customers within the class to the total number of customers.

The allocation process uses class characteristics that comport with the classification of the cost: customer costs are allocated based on a weighted or unweighted count of the customers in each class; energy costs are allocated based on consumption by each class weighted for losses to reflect energy at Generation; and demand costs are allocated based on demand of each class also weighted for losses to reflect the load at Generation.

Customer counts and class loads developed in Section D are used in the allocation tables to assign classified costs to customer rate classes. In this allocation process, recognition is given to:

- Use of the facilities by the rate class (i.e. the loads of large industrial customers who receive service at the Transmission level are excluded from the allocation tables used to allocate Subtransmission and Distribution facilities).
- Cost distinction between rate classes in providing for customer-related facilities or services through the use of weighting factors (i.e. a three phase non-demand meter is approximately five times as costly as a single phase non-demand meter and this cost distinction is reflected in the customer weights used to allocate the capital cost of metering equipment).

The balance of this section is intended to provide an insight into the cost allocation process. This section contains the following schedules:

- Schedule E1 summarizes the classified costs by allocation table.
- Schedules E2 - E19 represent some of the main tables used to allocate classified costs.

PAGE 1 OF 2
Classified Costs by Allocation Table

| Prospective Cost Of Service Study <br> March 31, 2010 <br> Classified Costs by Allocation Table |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{clllll}\begin{array}{c}\text { Allocation } \\ \text { Table }\end{array} & \text { Function } & & & \\ \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { E12 } \\ & \text { E13 } \end{aligned}$ | Generation - Dom \& Export |  | 329,366.6 | 124,123.8 | 264,727.5 | (424.0) | 717,793.8 |
|  | Generation - Domestic |  | 20,910 | 19,620 | 36,584 | - | 77,113.7 |
|  |  |  | 350,276.3 | 143,744.1 | 301,311.1 | (424.0) | 794,907.5 |
| D13 | Transmissi | - 2CP Domestic |  |  | 3,105.8 |  | 3,105.8 |
| D14 | Transmission - 2CP Dom \& Export |  | 91,369.1 | 54,882.9 | 53,176.0 |  | 199,427.9 |
|  |  |  | 91,369.1 | 54,882.9 | 56,281.7 | - | 202,533.7 |
| D21 | Subtrans |  | 5,879 | 22,363.4 | 26,342.4 |  | 54,584.7 |
| D22 | Subtrans | Stations | 8,140 | - |  |  | 8,140.2 |
| D23 | Subtrans | Line | 19,879 | - |  |  | 19,878.6 |
|  |  |  | 33,897.8 | 22,363.4 | 26,342.4 | - | 82,603.6 |
| D32 | Dist. Plant | Stn | 28,936 | 22,539.3 | 31,185.9 |  | 82,661.1 |
| D36 | Dist. Plant | Lines | 48,340 | 37,968.8 | 15,739.0 |  | 102,047.7 |
| D40 | Dist. Plant | S/E | 14,115 | 13,740.7 | 5,058.3 |  | 32,914.3 |
|  |  |  | 91,391.0 | 74,248.8 | 51,983.2 | - | 217,623.0 |
| C23 | Dist. Plant | Lines | 32,227 | 25,312.5 | 10,492.7 |  | 68,031.8 |
| C27 | Dist. Plant | Services | 4,565 |  |  |  | 4,565.3 |
| C40 | Dist. Plant | Meter Investment | 3,163 | 1,932.5 |  |  | 5,095.3 |
| C41 | Dist. Plant | Meter Mtce. | - |  | 2,819.7 |  | 2,819.7 |
|  |  |  | 39,954.7 | 27,245.0 | 13,312.4 | - | 80,512.1 |
| C10 | Dist Serv | Cust Service - General | 1,091 | 4,098.8 | 29,436.2 | - | 34,626.2 |
| C11 | Dist Serv | Cust Acct - Billings | 907 | 3,214.7 | 24,076.4 |  | 28,198.5 |
| C12 | Dist Serv | Cust Acct - Collections | 418 | 1,398.4 | 12,956.2 |  | 14,772.9 |
| C13 | Dist Serv | Marketing - R \& D | 50 | 140.3 | 1,300.3 |  | 1,490.1 |
| C14 | Dist Serv | Inspection | 116 | 349.6 | 3,238.7 |  | 3,703.8 |
| C15 | Dist Serv | Meter Read | 397 | 913.9 | 8,467.4 |  | 9,778.3 |
| C30 | Dist Serv | Hot Water Tank Program |  | 277.5 | 0.0 |  | 277.5 |
|  |  |  | 2,979.1 | 10,393.1 | 79,475.2 | - | 92,847.4 |
|  | Total Allocated Costs |  | 609,868.0 | 332,877.3 | 528,706.1 | (424.0) | 1,471,027.3 |

DIRECTS

| C02 | Generation | Diesel | 1,175 | 3,729.4 | 6,916.4 |  | 11,821.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E01 | Generation | Export | 19,437.6 | 4,194.3 | 194,329.5 |  | 217,961.4 |
|  |  |  | 19,437.6 | 4,194.3 | 194,329.5 | - | 217,961.4 |
| E01 | Generation | SEP - GSM | 354.4 | 156.5 | 279.4 |  | 790.3 |
| E01 | Generation | SEP - GSL 0-30kV | 56.0 | 24.7 | 44.2 |  | 125.0 |
| E01 | Generation | DSM Direct Assignment - Energy |  |  |  |  |  |
| E01 | Generation | Residential | 2,565.50 | 3,958.85 |  |  | 6,524.3 |
| E01 | Generation | GSS ND | 1,913.03 | 2,847.82 |  |  | 4,760.9 |
| E02 | Generation | GSS Demand | 2,173.92 | 3,512.65 |  |  | 5,686.6 |
| E01 | Generation | GSM | 2,657.20 | 4,000.16 |  |  | 6,657.4 |
| E01 | Generation | GSL 0-30kV | 1,305.51 | 1,970.09 |  |  | 3,275.6 |
| E02 | Generation | GSL 30-100kV excl Curt. | 144.76 | 262.40 |  |  | 407.2 |
| E01 | Generation | GSL > 100 kV excl Curt. | 419.42 | 845.22 |  |  | 1,264.6 |
| E01 | Generation | Street Lights | 1.79 | 6.25 |  |  | 8.0 |
| E00 | Generation | Curtailment (GSL 30-100) | 310.33 | 530.77 |  | (576.0) | 265.1 |
| E01 | Generation | Curtailment (GSL > 100) | 3,190.34 | 5,542.93 |  | $(5,819.0)$ | 2,914.3 |
|  |  |  | 15,092.2 | 23,658.4 | 323.6 | (6,395.0) | 32,679.2 |
| E02 | Transmission | Export | - | - | 1,641.3 |  | 1,641.3 |
| D04 | Transmission | SEP - GSM | 94.1 | 56.5 | 58.0 |  | 208.6 |
| D04 | Transmission | SEP - GSL 0-30kV | 14.9 | 8.9 | 9.2 |  | 33.0 |
|  |  |  | 109.0 | 65.5 | 67.1 | - | 241.6 |
| C01 | Distribution | Lighting | 3,782 | 2,543.8 | 7,476.8 |  | 13,802.9 |
| C01 | Distribution | Diesel | 101 | 266.0 | 327.9 |  | 695.0 |
|  |  |  | 3,883.4 | 2,809.8 | 7,804.7 | - | 14,497.9 |
|  | Total Directs |  | 39,697.5 | 34,457.3 | 211,082.6 | (6,395.0) | 278,842.4 |
|  | Total |  | 649,565.5 | 367,334.6 | 739,788.7 | (6,819.0) | 1,749,869.8 |
|  | Generation |  | 385,981.4 | 175,326.1 | 502,880.6 | $(6,819.0)$ | 1,057,369.2 |
|  | Transmission |  | 91,478.1 | 54,948.3 | 57,990.1 | - | 204,416.6 |
|  | Subtransmission |  | 33,897.8 | 22,363.4 | 26,342.4 | - | 82,603.6 |
|  | Distribution Plant |  | 135,229.0 | 104,303.7 | 73,100.4 | - | 312,633.1 |
|  | Distribution Services |  | 2,979.1 | 10,393.1 | 79,475.2 | - | 92,847.4 |
|  |  |  | 649,565.5 | 367,334.6 | 739,788.7 | (6,819.0) | 1,749,869.8 |
|  | Energy |  | 384,806.1 | 171,596.8 | 495,964.3 | $(6,819.0)$ | 1,045,548.1 |
|  | Demand |  | 216,767.0 | 151,560.5 | 136,315.8 | - | 504,643.2 |
|  | Customer |  | 47,992.4 | 44,177.3 | 107,508.7 | - | 199,678.5 |
|  |  |  | 649,565.5 | 367,334.6 | 739,788.7 | (6,819.0) | 1,749,869.8 |

SCHEDULE E2
12 Period Weighted Energy Table

## 12 PERIOD WEIGHTED ENERGY TABLE

(E12 Generation)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate costs associated with the energy component within the Generation function that are shared by the Domestic and Export classes.

## METHOD

Table represents marginal cost ratios multiplied by twelve-period seasonal kW.h sales as measured at Generation (On-Peak, Off-Peak and Shoulder periods for each of the four seasons).

## JUSTIFICATION

Generation costs are weighted by marginal cost factors to recognize the differential price of energy in various diurnal and seasonal periods.

SCHEDULE E3
12 Period Weighted Energy Table

## 12 PERIOD WEIGHTED ENERGY TABLE

## (E13 Generation)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate costs associated with the energy component within the Generation function that are shared by the Domestic classes.

## METHOD

Table represents marginal cost ratios multiplied by twelve-period seasonal kW.h sales as measured at Generation (On-Peak, Off-Peak and Shoulder periods for each of the four seasons).

## JUSTIFICATION

Generation costs are weighted by marginal cost factors to recognize the differential price of energy in various diurnal and seasonal periods.

SCHEDULE E4
Average Winter and Summer Coincident Peak Demand Table

# AVERAGE WINTER AND SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND TABLE (MW) 

(D13 Transmission)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate costs associated with the demand component of the Transmission function that are among the Domestic classes.

## METHOD

Class contributions to the seasonal system peaks in both summer and winter have been averaged to develop the allocators (2CP) using average of load research data for 2006/07 and 2007/08.

## JUSTIFICATION

This allocation recognizes the integrated effects of export activity in both summer and winter seasons. These costs are allocated to each customer class in proportion to the contribution of each class to the maximum system peak demand. The contribution of each class to system peak includes the assignment of Distribution and Transmission losses.

SCHEDULE E5
Average Winter and Summer Coincident Peak Demand Table

# AVERAGE WINTER AND SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND TABLE (MW) 

(D14 Transmission)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate costs associated with the demand component of the Transmission function that are share by the Export and Domestic classes.

## METHOD

Class contributions to the seasonal system peaks in both summer and winter have been averaged to develop the allocators (2CP) using average of load research data for 2006/07 and 2007/08.

## JUSTIFICATION

This allocation recognizes the integrated effects of export activity in both summer and winter seasons. These costs are allocated to each customer class in proportion to the contribution of each class to the maximum system peak demand. The contribution of each class to system peak includes the assignment of Distribution and Transmission losses.

SCHEDULE E6

## CLASS NON-COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND TABLE (MW)

(D21/D22/D23 - Subtransmission)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate costs associated with buildings, communication and general equipment of the demand component within the Subtransmission function. There are adjustments within this allocation table to recognize the use of the facilities by the different rate classes. For example, customers who receive service at the Transmission level ( $>100 \mathrm{kV}$ ) do not share in any of the Subtransmission function costs.

## METHOD

This table is based on the non-coincident peak demand of each class including losses. Class non-coincident demands have been developed using historical data derived from the average of load research data available from fiscal years 2003-2006 and 2008.

## JUSTIFICATION

Subtransmission costs are incurred in order that the necessary facilities are available to meet the non-coincident peak demand at the secondary level ( 66 kV and 33 kV ). These costs are allocated to each customer class in proportion to the maximum demand requirements of each class.

# CLASS NON-COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND TABLE (MW) 

(D32 - Distribution Plant)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate costs associated with the demand component of Distribution stations and station transformers within the Distribution plant function. There are adjustments within this allocation table to recognize the use of the facilities by the different rate classes. For example, customers who receive service at the Transmission or Subtransmission level ( 33 kV or greater) do not share in any of the Distribution costs.

## METHOD

This table is based on the non-coincident peak demand of each class including losses.

## JUSTIFICATION

The demand component costs within the Distribution plant function are incurred in order that the necessary facilities are available to meet the non-coincident peak demand at the distribution level ( 25 kV and below). These costs are allocated to each customer class in proportion to the maximum demand requirements of each class.

## CLASS NON-COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND TABLE (MW)

(D36 - Distribution Plant)

## PURPOSE

These tables are used to allocate costs associated with the demand component of Distribution lines, farm lines and associated Distribution infrastructure within the Distribution plant function. There are adjustments within this allocation table to recognize the use of the facilities by the different rate classes. For example, customers who receive service at the Transmission or Subtransmission level ( 33 kV or greater) do not share in any of the Distribution costs.

## METHOD

This table is based on the non-coincident peak demand of each class including losses.

## JUSTIFICATION

The demand component costs within the Distribution plant function are incurred in order that the necessary facilities are available to meet the non-coincident peak demand at the distribution level ( 25 kV and below). These costs are allocated to each customer class in proportion to the maximum demand requirements of each class.

## CLASS NON-COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND TABLE (MW)

(D40 - Distribution Plant)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate costs associated with the demand component of Distribution transformation. Classes receiving service at greater than 30 kV or with customer-owned transformation are excluded from the table.

## METHOD

This table is based on the non-coincident peak demand of each class including losses.

## JUSTIFICATION

The demand component costs within the Distribution plant function are incurred in order that the necessary facilities are available to meet the non-coincident peak demand at the distribution level ( 25 kV and below). These costs are allocated to each customer class in proportion to the maximum demand requirements of each class.

SCHEDULE E10
Weighted Ratio Customer Service General Table

# WEIGHTED RATIO CUSTOMER SERVICE GENERAL 

## TABLE

(C10 - Distribution Service)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate the general Customer Service costs within the Distribution services function.

## METHOD

Customer classes are weighted according to total time spent by line departments on serving each customer class. An analysis was undertaken to estimate the efforts various departments devote to each customer class, which was weighted by the budget for each department. For example, Key Accounts Department spend all their time providing customer service to General Service Large customers and no time on Residential customer service and are weighted accordingly. Each class is allocated a portion of the non-specific customer costs based on their share of the total weighted table.

## JUSTIFICATION

General costs associated with customer service and business activities are incurred relative to the customer service efforts devoted to each customer class, rather than the number of customers actually within each class.

SCHEDULE E11
Weighted Customer Count Table - Billing

## WEIGHTED CUSTOMER COUNT TABLE - BILLING

> (C11 - Distribution Service)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate the customer portion of billing costs.

## METHOD

The allocation table represents the percentage of billing costs assignable to each rate class. An analysis was undertaken to determine the percentage of customer-related costs assignable to each class based upon a detailed billing study which was updated with forecast customer numbers.

JUSTIFICATION

Weighted customer recognizes cost differential to serve different customer classes.

SCHEDULE E12
Weighted Customer Count Table - Collections

# WEIGHTED CUSTOMER COUNT TABLE COLLECTIONS 

(C12 - Distribution Service)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate the customer portion of collection costs.

## METHOD

The allocation table represents the percentage of collection costs assignable to each rate class. An analysis was undertaken to determine the percentage of customer-related costs assignable to each class based upon a detailed collection study which was updated with forecast customer numbers.

## JUSTIFICATION

Weighted customer recognizes cost differential to serve different customer classes.

SCHEDULE E13
Customer Count Table - Research and Development

## CUSTOMER COUNT TABLE - RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

(C13 - Distribution Service)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate the customer portion of marketing - research and development costs.

## METHOD

Number of customers adjusted for water heating and street/sentinel lighting

## JUSTIFICATION

These costs are incurred relative to the number of customers that are being served. These costs are allocated to each customer class in proportion to the number of customers in each class.

SCHEDULE E14
Weighted Customer Count Table - Electrical Inspections

# WEIGHTED CUSTOMER COUNT TABLE - ELECTRICAL INSPECTIONS 

(C14 - Distribution Service)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate the customer portion of electrical inspection costs.

## METHOD

An analysis was undertaken to determine the percentage of customer-related costs assignable to each rate class based upon electrical inspection permit statistics. The results of this analysis are used to weight the forecasted number of customers.

## JUSTIFICATION

Weighted customer recognizes cost differential to serve different customer classes.

SCHEDULE E15
Weighted Customer Count Table - Meter Reading

## WEIGHTED CUSTOMER COUNT TABLE - METER READING

## (C15 - Distribution Service)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate the customer portion of meter reading costs.

## METHOD

The allocation table represents customers weighted by the relative frequency in which a meter is read by the utility. The results of this analysis are used to weight the forecast number of customers.

The relative frequency of meter readings by rate class is shown in the following table.

| RATE CLASS |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Residential |  |
| Standard | 5 |
| Seasonal | 1 |
| General Service - Small |  |
| Demand | 12 |
| Non-Demand | 5 |
| Seasonal | 1 |
| General Service Medium | 12 |
| General Service Large |  |
| <30 kV | 12 |
| $30-100 \mathrm{kV}$ | 12 |
| $>100 \mathrm{kV}$ | 12 |

## JUSTIFICATION

Weighted customer recognizes cost differential to serve different customer classes.

SCHEDULE E16
Customer Count Table - Distribution Pole and Wire

# CUSTOMER COUNT TABLE - DISTRIBUTION POLE AND WIRE 

(C23 - Distribution Plant)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate the customer portion associated with Distribution lines. Classes receiving service at greater than 30 kV are excluded from this table.

## METHOD

The allocation table represents unweighted customers except for street lights, sentinel lights and flat rate water heating. No costs are allocated to sentinel lighting or flat rate water heating as this service has been provided by the primary rate class (i.e. Residential or General Service). Street lighting count reflects the number of taps into the distribution system that would be required if the lights were connected in a series through a relay.

## JUSTIFICATION

Customer component costs are incurred in Distribution plant dependent upon the number of customers being served.

# WEIGHTED CUSTOMER COUNT TABLE - SERVICES 

(C27 - Distribution Plant)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate the customer portion associated with service drops. Classes receiving service at greater than 30 kV , Flat Rate Water Heating, Street and Sentinel Lighting are excluded from this table.

## METHOD

Number of customers are weighted 5 x for General Service Small - 3 Phase, General Service Medium and General Service Large customers.

## JUSTIFICATION

Weighted customer recognizes cost differential to serve different customer classes.

SCHEDULE E18
Weighted Customer Count Table - Meter Investment

# WEIGHTED CUSTOMER COUNT TABLE - METER INVESTMENT 

(C40- Distribution Plant)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate the customer portion associated with meters and metering transformers. Flat Rate Water Heating, Street and Sentinel Lighting are excluded from this table.

## METHOD

An analysis of meter costs was undertaken to determine the relative costs for metering equipment by customer class and voltage level. The results of this analysis are used to weight the forecast number of customers.

This table represents the number of customers weighted by the relative cost of metering equipment. No costs are allocated to non-metered services such as Street Lighting and Flat Rate Water Heating. The weighting factors for cost allocation are shown in the table below.

|  | WEIGHTING <br> FACTOR |
| :--- | :---: |
| Residential | 1 |
| General Service Small |  |
| Single Phase - Non-Demand | 1 |
| - Demand | 14 |
| Three Phase - Non-Demand | 5 |
| - Demand | 23 |
| General Service Medium | 36 |
| General Service Large |  |
| $0-30 \mathrm{kV}$ | 49 |
| $30-100 \mathrm{kV}$ | 224 |
| $>100 \mathrm{kV}$ | 233 |

## JUSTIFICATION

Weighted customer recognizes cost differential to serve different customer classes.

# WEIGHTED CUSTOMER COUNT TABLE - METER MAINTENANCE 

## (C41- Distribution Plant)

## PURPOSE

This table is used to allocate the customer portion relating to meter maintenance costs. Flat Rate Water Heating, Street and Sentinel Lighting are excluded from this table.

## METHOD

An analysis of meter maintenance costs was undertaken to determine the relative costs for meter maintenance by customer class. The results of this analysis are used to weight the forecast number of customers.

This table represents the number of customers weighted by the relative cost of maintaining the metering equipment. No costs are allocated to non-metered services such as Street Lighting and Flat Rate Water Heating. The weighting factors for cost allocation are shown in the table below.

|  | WEIGHTING <br> FACTOR |
| :--- | :---: |
| Residential | 1 |
| General Service Small |  |
| Single Phase - Non-Demand | 1 |
| - Demand | 155 |
| Three Phase - Non-Demand | 50 |
| - Demand | 105 |
| General Service Medium | 215 |
| General Service Large |  |
| $0-30 \mathrm{kV}$ | 530 |
| $30-100 \mathrm{kV}$ | 530 |
| $>100 \mathrm{kV}$ | 530 |

## JUSTIFICATION

Weighted customer recognizes cost differential to serve different customer classes.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ As based on Business Process Synchronization Unit ("BPSU") breakdown in SAP.

